Cluster algebra structure on the finite dimensional representations of $U_q(\widehat{A_3})$ for $\ell = 2$

Yan-Min Yang, Zhu-Jun Zheng[1, a)]

Department of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510641, P. R. China.

In this paper, we prove one case of the conjecture given by Hernandez and Leclerc[20]. Specifically, we give a cluster algebra structure on the Grothendieck ring of a full subcategory of the finite dimensional representations of a simply-laced quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. In the procedure, we also give a specific description of compatible subsets of type E_6. As a conclusion, for every exchange relation of cluster algebra there exists a exact sequence of the full subcategory corresponding to it.

Keywords: Quantum affine algebra; Cluster algebra; Monoidal categorification

arXiv:1403.5124v2 [math.QA] 31 Mar 2014

[a)]Electronic mail: zhengzj@scut.edu.cn

Cluster algebra	Additive categorification	Monoidal categorification
$+$	*open*	$\oplus$
$\times$	$\oplus$	$\otimes$
cluster variables	rigid indecomposable objects	real prime simple objects
clusters	cluster tilting objects	real simple sets
exchange relations	exchange triangles	$0 \to S \to M_i \otimes M_i^* \to S' \to 0$
dual canonical bases	*open*	simple objects
open	*open*	prime simple objects

Table I

I. INTRODUCTION

Cluster algebras were introduced by Fomin and Zelevinsky[14] in 2002 in the context of total positivity and canonical bases in Lie theory. Since then cluster algebras have been shown to be related to various fields in mathematics, including those indicated in FIGURE 1.

There are two different categorical approaches for cluster algebras. One is an additive category (cluster category[1]) which is defined as an orbit category of the derived category of finite dimensional representations of a quiver Γ under an action of an automorphism. Another one is a monoidal category[20] which is a full subcategory of finite dimensional representations of a simply-laced quantum affine algebra. The Grothendieck ring of this monoidal category is a cluster algebra. We use a table (TABLE 1) to illustrate the relationships between the two approaches.

In this paper, we focus on the second categorification. Hernandez and Leclerc[20] have introduced certain monoidal subcategories $\mathscr{C}_\ell$ of the category $\mathscr{C}$ of finite dimensional representations of a simply-laced quantum affine algebras, and they conjectured that each $\mathscr{C}_\ell$ is a monoidal categorification of a cluster algebra. In specific, the Grothendieck ring R_ℓ of $\mathscr{C}_\ell$ is isomorphic to a cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}$, and the cluster variables of $\mathscr{A}$ are the classes of all the real prime simple objects of $\mathscr{C}_\ell$ and the cluster monomials of $\mathscr{A}$ are the classes of all the real simple objects of $\mathscr{C}_\ell$. Depending on representation theory, Hernandez and Leclerc[20,21] proved this conjecture for $\mathscr{C}_1$ of type A_n and D_n. Almost at the same time, Nakajima[25] using the theory of perverse sheaves and quiver varieties proved the conjecture for $\mathscr{C}_1$ of type *ADE*. And then, Qin[27] generalized Nakajima's approach and obtained monoidal categorifications of the cluster algebras associated to any acyclic quiver.

As an application, Hernandez and Leclerc[22] described a cluster algebra algorithm for calculating q-characters of Kirillov-Reshetikhin representations for any untwisted quantum affine algebra. For simply-laced quantum affine algebra, their formula extends Nakajima's one for q-characters of standard representations.

We intend to prove the conjecture[20] for $\mathscr{C}_2$ of type A_3. In the case $\ell = 1$, for every simply laced $\mathfrak{g}$ the cluster type of Grothendieck ring R_1 of $\mathscr{C}_1$ coincide with the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$. But this does not work for $\ell \geq 2$. In our case, the cluster type of the ring R_2 is of type E_6. In order to write out all cluster monomials, we need to judge the compatibility of any two cluster variables. But the initial quiver Γ_2 defined in the conjecture is not good enough. So we set a mutation equivalent quiver Γ as initial quiver which is an bipartite quiver with underlying graph E_6. Two cluster variables are compatible if and only if the corresponding two almost positive roots are compatible, and we give a specific description of compatible subsets of E_6 in Appendix B. Under the fact that cluster algebras $\mathscr{A}_\Gamma$ and $\mathscr{A}_{\Gamma_2}$ are isomorphic, the conjecture is equivalent to that $\mathscr{C}_2$ is a monoidal categorification of $\mathscr{A}_\Gamma$. We also denote the map from $\mathscr{A}_\Gamma$ to R by $\imath$. For the image of all cluster variables of $\imath$, we give explicit formulas for the truncated q-characters. Then the primary follows. Let $S_1, \ldots, S_N$ be simple objects of $\mathscr{C}$, Hernandez[19] proved that the tensor product $S_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes S_N$ is simple if and only if $S_i \otimes S_j$ is simple for $i < j$. Thus, we only need to consider any two cluster variables in a cluster.

If the conjecture is true, we have some conclusions. Since there are 45 cluster variables in the cluster algebra of type E_6 with 3 frozen variables, the category $\mathscr{C}_2$ has 45 real prime simple objects. And the class in R_2 of any simple object of $\mathscr{C}_2$ is a cluster monomial. Besides, for every exchange relation of cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_\Gamma$, there exists an exact sequence of $\mathscr{C}_2$ corresponding to it. The set of such exact sequences includes the T-systems.

As shown in Table 1[20], the cluster algebras $\mathscr{A}_\ell$ defined in the conjecture[20] are of finite type if and only if $\ell = 1$ or $n\ell = 4,\ 6,\ 8$, where A_n is the type of $\mathfrak{g}$. In this paper, we consider the case of A_3 for $\ell = 2$. The remaining cases can be proved by same way. When cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_\ell$ is of infinite type, $\mathscr{A}_\ell$ has infinitely many cluster variables and there exists simple objects of $\mathscr{C}_\ell$ which are not real, our method does not work.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we review background on cluster algebras and finite dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras and give some useful propositions. In Section III, we state the main theorem, Theorem III.1 firstly. And then we give an equivalent Proposition III.2 and prove the equivalence between Theorem III.1 and Proposition III.2. In

Section IV, we prove Proposition III.2. We give a polynomial ring structure on cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_\Gamma$, the explicit formulas of cluster variables are given in Appendix A. And then, we give explicit formulas for the truncated q-characters of all real prime objects of $\mathscr{C}_2$. The compatible subsets of E_6 is given in Appendix B.

The explicit formulas of cluster variables and the description of compatible roots are mechanical and lengthy, we put them in Appendices for easily reading.

II. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

In this section, we give a simplified introduction to the theory of cluster algebras[14–16] and finite dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras[3,5].

A. Cluster algebras

Given an $m \times n$ integer matrix $\widetilde{B} = (b_{ij})$, where the principle part B of $\widetilde{B}$ is an $n \times n$ antisymmetric square matrix. For $k \in [1,n]$, define $\mu_k(\widetilde{B}) = (b'_{ij})$ by

$$b'_{ij} = \begin{cases} -b_{ij} & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k, \\ b_{ij} + \operatorname{sgn}(b_{ik})\ [b_{ik}b_{kj}]_+ & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $[x]_+ = \max(x, 0)$. $\mu_k(\widetilde{B})$ is called the mutation of the matrix $\widetilde{B}$ in direction k.

To an $m \times n$ antisymmetric integer matrix there corresponds a quiver Γ with vertex set $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and with b_{ij} arrows from i to j if $b_{ij} > 0$. In particular, Γ has no loops nor 2-cycles. Obviously, this correspondence yields a bijection. Under this bijection, given a $\mu_k(\widetilde{B})$ for some $k \in [1,n]$, then there exists an unique quiver corresponding to it, we denote the quiver by $\mu_k(\Gamma)$.

A initial seed is a pair $(\mathbf{x}, \widetilde{B})$, where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ is a free generating set of the field $\mathscr{F} = \mathbb{Q}(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$. For $k \in [1,n]$, define

$$x'_k x_k = \prod_{b_{ik}>0} x_i^{b_{ik}} + \prod_{b_{ik}<0} x_i^{-b_{ik}}. \tag{II.1}$$

The equation II.1 is called a exchange relation. $\mu_k(\mathbf{x}) = \{\mathbf{x} - x_k\} \cup x'_k$. Then the pair $(\mu_k(\mathbf{x}), \mu_k(\widetilde{B}))$ is called the mutation of $(\mathbf{x}, \widetilde{B})$ in direction k. In particular, $\mu_k(\mu_k(\mathbf{x}), \mu_k(\widetilde{B})) = (\mathbf{x}, \widetilde{B})$. The m-tuple in each seed is called a cluster, and the elements of each cluster are called cluster variables. Specially, the last $m - n$ elements in each cluster are called frozen variables.

The cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}(\widetilde{B})$ of rank n is defined as the subring of $\mathscr{F}$ generated by all the cluster variables of all seeds.

Remark II.1. (i) *The initial seed also can be defined by pair* $(\mathbf{x},\Gamma)$*, where* Γ *is the quiver corresponding to* $\widetilde{B}$*. For* $k\in[1,n]$*, the mutation of* $(\mathbf{x},\Gamma)$ *in direction* k *is defined similarly and the corresponding cluster algebra can be written as* $\mathscr{A}(\Gamma)$*.*

(ii) *Two quivers* Γ *and* Γ' *are called mutation equivalent if each of them can be obtained from the other by a sequence of mutations. Denote by* $\Gamma\simeq\Gamma'$*.*

A cluster monomial is a monomial in the cluster variables which all belong to a same cluster.

Two cluster variables x and x' are said to be compatible if they belong to a same cluster. Otherwise there are some exchange relation such that $xx'=m_1+m_2$ for some cluster monomials m_1 and m_2.

Theorem II.2. [14,15]

(i) *Laurent phenomenon — All denominators of all cluster variables are monomials. In other words, the cluster variables are Laurent polynomials.*

(ii) *Classification of cluster algebras — A cluster algebra is of finite type, i.e. with finitely many different cluster variables if and only if there exists a seed* $(\mathbf{x}',\widetilde{B}')$ *such that the quiver attached to the principal part of* $\widetilde{B}'$ *is a orientation of a simply laced Dynkin diagram* Γ*(type ADE).*

In this case, Γ *is unique and there exists a bijection between the set of cluster variables (except for frozen ones) and the set of almost positive roots* $\Phi_{\geq -1}$ *(the positive roots together with the negatives of the simple roots) of* Γ*. If we denote the simple roots by* $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n$*, then the bijection is defined by* $x_i\mapsto-\alpha_i,\forall i\in[1,n]$*,* $\frac{\square}{\prod x_i^{d_i}}\mapsto\sum d_i\alpha_i$ *for some* $i\in[1,n],d_i\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$*.*

Definition II.3. *Definition2.1*[20] *Let* $\mathscr{A}$ *be a cluster algebra and let* $\mathscr{M}$ *be an abelian monoidal category. We say that* $\mathscr{M}$ *is a monoidal categorification of* $\mathscr{A}$ *if the Grothendieck ring of* $\mathscr{M}$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathscr{A}$*, and if*

(i) *the cluster monomials of* $\mathscr{A}$ *are the classes of all the real simple objects of* $\mathscr{M}$*;*

(ii) *the cluster variables of* $\mathscr{A}$ *(including the frozen ones) are the classes of all the real prime simple objects of* $\mathscr{M}$*.*

A simple object S of $\mathscr{M}$ is real if $S \otimes S$ is simple. A non-trivial simple object S of $\mathscr{M}$ is prime if there exists no non-trivial factorization $S \cong S_1 \otimes S_2$.

If a cluster algebra has a monoidal categorification, then the famous positivity conjecture is true.

B. Finite dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra of type ADE. We denote by I the vertex set of its Dynkin diagram, by $A = [a_{ij}]_{i,j\in I}$ the Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{g}$, and by α_i $(i \in I)$ the simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ denote the corresponding quantum affine algebra, with parameter $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ not a root of unity.

Let $\mathscr{C}$ be the category of finite-dimensional $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$-representations (of type 1). Since $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a Hopf algebra, $\mathscr{C}$ is an abelian monoidal category.

Theorem II.4. [5,7]

(i) *Every simple objects of $\mathscr{C}$ is a highest weight representation.*

(ii) *Let V be a simple objects of $\mathscr{C}$. Then, V can be parameterized by $I-$tuples $\pi_V = (\pi_{i,V}(u); i \in I)$ of polynomials $\pi_{i,V}(u) \in \mathbb{C}[u]$ with constant term 1. The $I-$tuples π_V are called the Drinfeld polynomials of V.*

(iii) *If V_1, V_2 and V are simple objects of $\mathscr{C}$, and $\pi_{i,V}(u) = \pi_{i,V_1(u)}\pi_{i,V_2(u)} (i \in I)$. Then, V is a subquotient of $V_1 \otimes V_2$.*

For each $i \in I$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, a fundamental representation $V_{i,a}$ of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the simple object with Drinfeld polynomials $\pi_{j,V_{i,a}}(u) = \begin{cases} 1-au & \text{if } j = i\,, \\ 1 & \text{if } j \neq i. \end{cases}$

For each $i \in I$, $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, a Kirillov-Reshetikhin representation $W_{k,a}^{(i)}$ of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the simple object with Drinfeld polynomials $\pi_{j,W_{k,a}^{(i)}}(u) = \begin{cases} (1-au)(1-aq^2u)\dots(1-aq^{2k-2}u) & \text{if } j = i\,, \\ 1 & \text{if } j \neq i. \end{cases}$

In particular, $W_{1,a}^{(i)} = V_{i,a}$. And $W_{0,a}^{(i)}$ is the trivial representation for every i and a.

The T-system is the following system of equations:

$$[W_{k,a}^{(i)}][W_{k,aq^2}^{(i)}] = [W_{k+1,a}^{(i)}][W_{k-1,aq^2}^{(i)}] + \prod_{j:a_{i,j}=-1} [W_{k,aq}^{(j)}].$$

Let R denote the Grothendieck ring of $\mathscr{C}$, then it was proved by Frenkel and Reshetikhin that R is a commutative ring which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}\big[[V_{i,a}]\big]_{i\in I,a\in\mathbb{C}^*}$.

Since the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$ is bipartite, we denote the two parts of I by I_0 and I_1.

For $i\in I$, set $\xi_i=\begin{cases}0 \text{ if } i\in I_0\,,\\ 1 \text{ if } i\in I_1.\end{cases}$ $\qquad \varepsilon_i=(-1)^{\xi_i}$.

For $\ell\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define a full subcategory $\mathscr{C}_\ell$ of $\mathscr{C}$ as follows. For any V of $\mathscr{C}_\ell$, the roots of the Drinfeld polynomials of every composition factor of V belong to $\{q^{-2k-\xi_i}\mid 0\leq k\leq \ell,\ i\in I\}$.

Denote the Grothendieck ring of $\mathscr{C}_\ell$ by R_ℓ, then $R_\ell=\mathbb{Z}\big[[V_{i,q^{2k+\xi_i}}]_{i\in I,0\leq k\leq \ell}\big]$.

It is shown[20] that the description of the simple objects of $\mathscr{C}$ can be reduced to the description of the simple objects of $\mathscr{C}_\ell$.

C. q–characters[12,20]

To study the finite dimensional representations of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ Frenkel and Reshetikhin[12] introduced q-characters which encodes the (pseudo)-eigenvalues of some commuting elements $\phi^{\pm}_{i,\pm m}(m\geq 0)$ of the Cartan subalgebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{h}})\subset U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$: for V a object of $\mathscr{C}$, we have $V=\bigoplus_{\gamma\in\mathbb{C}^{I\times\mathbb{Z}}}V_\gamma$, where for $\gamma=(\gamma^{(\pm)}_{i,\pm m})_{i\in I,m\geq 0}$, V_γ is a simultaneous generalized eigenspace (l-weight space):

$$V_\gamma=\{x\in V\mid \exists p\in\mathbb{N},\forall i\in I,\forall m\geq 0,(\phi^{(\pm)}_{i,\pm m}-\gamma^{(\pm)}_{i,\pm m})^p\cdot x=0\}.$$

The morphism of q-characters is an injective ring homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_q: R &\to \mathscr{Y}=\mathbb{Z}[Y^{\pm}_{i,a}]_{i\in I,a\in\mathbb{C}^*}\\ V &\mapsto \chi_q(V)=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{C}^{I\times\mathbb{Z}}}\dim(V_\gamma)m_\gamma\end{aligned}$$

where $m_\gamma\in\mathscr{Y}$ depends of γ.

For $i\in I,a\in\mathbb{C}^*$, define $A_{i,a}=Y_{i,aq}Y_{i,aq^{-1}}\prod_{j\neq i}Y_{j,a}^{a_{ij}}$. Denote by $\mathscr{M}$ the set of Laurent monomials in $Y_{i,a}$. Define a partial order on $\mathscr{M}$: $m\leq m'\iff \frac{m'}{m}$ is a monomial in the $A_{i,a}$.

A dominant monomial of $\mathscr{M}$ is a monomial in $Y_{i,a}$ with positive powers only. We will denote the set of dominant monomials by $\mathscr{M}_+$.

Let V be a a simple object of $\mathscr{C}$ with Drinfeld polynomials: $\pi_{i,V}(u)=\prod_{k=1}^{n_i}(1-ua_k^{(i)}),\quad i\in I$, then the highest weight monomial of $\chi_q(V)$ is $m_V=\prod_{i\in I}\prod_{k=1}^{n_i}Y_{i,a_k^{(i)}}$.

Conversely, for any dominant monomial $m\in\mathscr{M}_+$, there exist a unique $I-$tuples of Drinfeld polynomials corresponding to it. We denote the simple object with the highest weight monomial m by $L(m)$.

Frenkel and Mukhin[11] proved that

$$\chi_q(L(m)) = m(1+\sum_p M_p),$$

where each M_p is a monomial in variables $A_{i,a}^{-1}$.

A q-segment is defined as the string of complex numbers: $\Sigma(k,a) = \{a,\ aq^2,\ \cdots, aq^{2k-2}\}$.

We make a little change to the description of the following definition given by Chari and Pressley firstly. For two q-segments $\Sigma(k_i,a_i)$ and $\Sigma(k_j,a_j)$, if $\Sigma(k_i,a_i)\bigcap\Sigma(k_j,a_j)=\emptyset$, we say that the two q-segments are in special position and in general position otherwise; if $\Sigma(k_i,a_i)\bigcap\Sigma(k_j,a_j)\in\{\Sigma(k_i,a_i),\Sigma(k_j,a_j)\}$, we say the two q-segments are in general position. It is easy to check that every finite multi-set $\{b_1,\ldots,b_s\}$ of elements of $\mathbb{C}^*$ can be written uniquely as a union of segments $\Sigma(k_i,a_i)$ in such a way that every pair $(\Sigma(k_i,a_i),\Sigma(k_j,a_j))$ is in general position.

Example II.5. *Let $\mathfrak{g}=s\ell_2$, then $I=\{1\}$. Drop the index $i\in I$, then $\mathscr{Y}=\mathbb{Z}[Y_a^{\pm};a\in\mathbb{C}^*]$, $A_a=Y_{aq}Y_{aq^{-1}}$.*

Since $W_{1,a}$ can be decomposed as a sum of two 1-dimensional common eigenspaces,

$$\chi_q(W_{1,a}) = Y_a + Y_{aq^2}^{-1} = Y_a(1+A_{aq}^{-1}).$$

From a equation of T–systems: $[W_{1,a}][W_{1,aq^2}] = [W_{2,a}][W_{0,aq^2}]+1 = [W_{2,a}]+1$, we can get

$$\chi_q(W_{2,a}) = Y_aY_{aq^2} + Y_aY_{aq^4}^{-1} + Y_{aq^2}^{-1}Y_{aq^4}^{-1} = Y_aY_{aq^2}(1+A_{aq^3}^{-1}(1+A_{aq}^{-1})).$$

Recursively, for $\forall k\in\mathbb{N}$, set $m_{k,a}=Y_aY_{aq^2}\cdots Y_{aq^{2k-2}}$, then

$$\chi_q(W_{k,a}) = m_{k,a}\left(1+A_{aq^{2k-1}}^{-1}\left(1+A_{aq^{2(k-1)-1}}^{-1}\left(1+\cdots\left(1+A_{aq^3}^{-1}(1+A_{aq}^{-1})\right)\cdots\right)\right)\right).$$

The authors[6] proved that the simple object V of $\mathscr{C}$ for $\mathfrak{g}=s\ell_2$ with Drinfeld polynomials: $\pi_V(u)=\prod_{m=1}^s(1-ub_m)$ is isomorphic to $\bigotimes_i W_{k_i,a_i}$. Hence we can calculate q-characters for all simple objects.

Proposition II.6. *Proposition 5.3[20] Let V and W be two objects of $\mathscr{C}$. If $\chi_q(V)$ and $\chi_q(W)$ have the same dominant monomials with the same multiplicities, then $\chi_q(V)=\chi_q(W)$.*

D. FM–algorithm[11,20]

For any $m\in\mathscr{M}_+$, Frenkel and Mukhin define a polynomial $FM(m)$ which equal to $\chi_q(L(m))$ under certain conditions.

Given $i \in I$, we say that $m \in \mathscr{M}$ is i-dominant if every variable $Y_{i,a}$ occurs in m with non-negative power, and in this case we write $m \in \mathscr{M}_{i,+}$.

(i) $\forall m = \prod_{k=1}^{n_i} Y_{i,a_k^{(i)}} \prod_{j \in I\setminus\{i\}} \prod_{k=1}^{n_j} Y_{j,a_k^{(j)}}^{b_k^{(j)}} \in \mathscr{M}_{i,+}$, define $\varphi_i(m)$ as follows. Let $\overline{m} = \prod_{k=1}^{n_i} Y_{a_k^{(i)}}$. Then there is a unique simple object $L(\overline{m})$ of $U_q(s\hat{\ell}_2)$. We can calculate $\chi_q(L(\overline{m})) = \overline{m}(1+\sum_p \overline{M_p})$ exactly. Then, set $\varphi_i(m) = m(1+\sum_p M_p)$ where each M_p is obtained from the corresponding $\overline{M_p}$ by replacing each variable A_a^{-1} by $A_{i,a}^{-1}$.

(ii) Suppose now that $m \in \mathscr{M}_+$, define $D_m \subseteq \mathscr{M}$ as follows. For every $i \in I$, we have $\varphi_i(m)$. Label the monomials occurring in $\varphi_i(m)$ by $m_0 = m,\ m_1,\ \ldots,\ m_r$. For each m_j $(1 \le j \le r)$, there exists some $i \in I$ such that $m_j \in \mathscr{M}_{i,+}$. Thus we have $\varphi_i(m_j)$. Same manner, label the monomials occurring in $\varphi_i(m_j)$ by some $m_k,\ m_{k+1},\ \ldots,\ m_{k+t}$. Repeat this procedure, and denote the set of all such monomials m_i by D_m. Obviously, if $m_r \le m_s$, then $s \le r$. In particular, for every $m_i \in D_m$, we have $m_i \le m$.

(iii) We define the sequences $(s(m_r))_{r\ge 0}$ and $(s_i(m_r))_{r\ge 0}$ $(i \in I)$ as follows. The initial condition is $s(m_0) = 1$ and $s_i(m_0) = 0$ for all $i \in I$. For $t \ge 1$, set
$s_i(m_t) = \sum_{r<t,\ m_r \in \mathscr{M}_{i,+}} (s(m_r) - s_i(m_r))[\varphi_i(m_r) : m_t], (i \in I), \quad s(m_t) = \max\{s_i(m_t) \mid i \in I\}$, where $[\varphi_i(m_r) : m_t]$ denotes the coefficient of m_t in $\varphi_i(m_r)$.

(iv) We then define $FM(m) = \sum_{r\ge 0} s(m_r) m_r$.

Let $m \in \mathscr{M}_+$. We say that $L(m)$ is minuscule (special) if m is the only dominant monomial of $\chi_q(L(m))$. It was proved that[26] all Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules are minuscule. Frenkel and Mukhin proved that if $L(m)$ is minuscule then $\chi_q(L(m)) = FM(m)$.

For any subset J of I, we define $\varphi_J(m)$ as a generalization of $\varphi_i(m)$. A monomial $m \in \mathscr{M}$ is called J-dominant if every variable $Y_{j,a}$ $(j \in J,\ a \in \mathbb{C}^*)$ occurs in m with non-negative power, and we write $m \in \mathscr{M}_{J,+}$.

For $m = \prod_{j\in J} \prod_{k=1}^{n_j} Y_{j,a_k^{(j)}} \prod_{i\in I-J} \prod_{k=1}^{n_i} Y_{i,a_k^{(i)}}^{b_k^{(i)}} \in \mathscr{M}$, we denote by $\overline{m} = \prod_{j\in J} \prod_{k=1}^{n_j} Y_{j,a_k^{(j)}}$. If $m \in \mathscr{M}_{J,+}$, then $\overline{m}$ can be regarded as a dominant monomial for the subalgebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_J)$ of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. Write $\chi_q(L(\overline{m})) = \overline{m}(1+\sum_p \overline{M}_p)$, then set $\varphi_J(m) = m(1+\sum_p M_p)$ where each M_p is obtained from the corresponding $\overline{M}_p$ by replacing each variable $\overline{A_{j,a}^{-1}}$ by $A_{j,a}^{-1}$.

Proposition II.7. *Proposition 5.10*[20] *Let V be an object of $\mathscr{C}$ and let J be an arbitrary subset of*

I. Then there is a unique decomposition of $\chi_q(V)$ as a finite sum

$$\chi_q(V) = \sum_{\substack{m\in\mathscr{M}_{J,+}\\ \lambda_m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} \lambda_m \varphi_J(m).$$

Let $m \in \mathscr{M}_+$, mM be a monomial of $\chi_q(L(m))$, where M is a monomial in the $A_{i,a}^{-1}$ $(i \in I)$. If M contains no variable $A_{j,a}^{-1}$ with $j \in J$ then $mM \in \mathscr{M}_{J,+}$ and $\varphi_J(mM)$ is contained in $\chi_q(L(m))$.

Let us introduce for $P, \widetilde{P} \in \mathbb{Z}[Y_{i,r}^{\pm}; i \in I, r \in \mathbb{Z}]$ the notation

$$P \leq \widetilde{P} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \widetilde{P} - P \in \mathbb{N}[Y_{i,r}^{\pm}; i \in I, r \in \mathbb{Z}].$$

We define a useful polynomial $N(m) \leq \chi_q(L(m))$ for $m \in \mathscr{M}_+$. We will see later that $N(m) = \chi_q(L(m))$ for some simple objects $L(m)$.

(i) $\forall i \in I$, we have $\varphi_i(m) = m(1 + \sum M_{i_p})$, where M_{i_p} are monomials in $A_{i,a}^{-1}$, denote all such mM_{i_p} by m_{i_p};

(ii) For every m_{i_p}, m_{i_p} is j-dominant for $j \in I\backslash\{i\}$. We have $\varphi_j(m_{i_p})$, denote the monomials in $\varphi_j(m_{i_p})$ by $m_{i_{p_k}}$;

(iii) Repeat this procedure until every monomial contain all $A_{i,a}^{-1}$ with $i \in I$. Put all such distinguished monomials together and denote it by $N(m)$. Clearly, $N(m) \leq \chi_q(L(m))$.

Example II.8. *Take $\mathfrak{g}$ of type A_2 and $m = Y_{1,1}Y_{2,q^3}$. We have*

$$\varphi_1(m) = m(1 + A_{1,q}^{-1}), \ \varphi_2(m) = m(1 + A_{2,q^4}^{-1}),$$

The monomial $mA_{1,q}^{-1}$ is 2-dominant, mA_{2,q^4}^{-1} is 1-dominant, so

$$\varphi_2(mA_{1,q}^{-1}) = mA_{1,q}^{-1}(1 + A_{2,q^4}^{-1} + A_{2,q^4}^{-1}A_{2,q^2}^{-1}), \ \varphi_1(mA_{2,q^4}^{-1}) = mA_{2,q^4}^{-1}(1 + A_{1,q}^{-1})(1 + A_{1,q^5}^{-1}),$$

Then

$$N(m) = m(1 + A_{1,q}^{-1} + A_{2,q^4}^{-1} + A_{1,q}^{-1}A_{2,q^4}^{-1} + A_{1,q}^{-1}A_{2,q^4}^{-1}A_{2,q^2}^{-1} + A_{2,q^4}^{-1}A_{1,q^5}^{-1} + A_{2,q^4}^{-1}A_{1,q}^{-1}A_{1,q^5}^{-1}).$$

E. Truncation

To simplify the calculation, we will define some truncations.

By $R_\ell = \mathbb{Z}\big[[V_{i,q^{2k+\xi_i}}]_{i\in I, 0\le k\le \ell}\big]$, we know that the monomials occur in the q-characters of objects of $\mathscr{C}_\ell$ only involve in Y_{i,q^r} $(i\in I, r\in\mathbb{Z})$. So we write $Y_{i,r}$ and $A_{i,r}$ instead of Y_{i,q^r} and A_{i,q^r} respectively.

Let V be an object of $\mathscr{C}_2$, $\chi_q(V) = m(1+\sum_p M_p)$, where m is a dominant monomial in the variables $Y_{i,\xi_i}, Y_{i,\xi_i+2}, Y_{i,\xi_i+4}$ $(i\in I)$, and the M_p are certain monomials in the $A_{i,r}^{-1} = Y_{i,r-1}Y_{i,r+1}\prod_{j\neq i} Y_{j,r}^{a_{ij}}$. Thus it can be checked that for $r\ge 5$, there can not exist a monomial mM_p such that mM_p is dominant.

For this reason, we can define the truncated q-character of V to be the polynomial obtained from $\chi_q(V)$ by keeping the monomials M_p which do not contain any $A_{i,r}^{-1}$ with $r\ge 5$. We denote this truncated polynomial by $\chi_q(V)_{\le 4}$.

Proposition II.9. *The map $V\mapsto \chi_q(V)_{\le 4}$ is an injective homomorphism from the Grothendieck ring R_2 of $\mathscr{C}_2$ to $\mathscr{Y}$.*

Proof. The way to prove this proposition is similar with the method of Proposition 6.1[20], so we omit it. □

Similarly, we attach a polynomial $FM(m)_{\le 4}$ which is obtained from $FM(m)$ by keeping only the monomials which do not contain any $A_{i,r}^{-1}$ with $r\ge 5$. In detail, $\forall m\in\mathscr{M}_{i,+}$, replace $\chi_q(\overline{m})$ by $\chi_q(\overline{m})_{\le 4}$, $\varphi_i(m)_{\le 4}$ is the polynomial obtained from $\chi_q(\overline{m})_{\le 4}$ by replacing each variable A_a^{-1} by $A_{i,a}^{-1}$. The set D_m and the sequences $(s(m_r))_{r\ge 0}$ and $(s_i(m_r))_{r\ge 0}$ $(i\in I)$ are defined as the same. Then, $FM(m)_{\le 4}$ is well-defined.

Proposition II.10. *If $L(m)$ is minuscule then $\chi_q(L(m))_{\le 4} = FM(m)_{\le 4}$.*

Proof. $L(m)$ is minuscule, then $\chi_q(L(m)) = FM(m)$. That is to say, $\chi_q(L(m))$ and $FM(m)$ have the same dominant monomials with the same multiplicities. Besides, both $\chi_q(L(m))_{\le 4}$ and $FM(m)_{\le 4}$ contain all dominant monomials. Hence, $\chi_q(L(m))_{\le 4}$ and $FM(m)_{\le 4}$ have the same dominant monomials with the same multiplicities. Then the proposition follows. □

Lastly, we define $N(m)_{\le 4}$ as the polynomial which is obtained from $N(m)$ by keeping only the monomials which do not contain any $A_{i,r}^{-1}$ with $r\ge 5$.

III. MAIN RESULTS

We prove the conjecture[20] for $\mathscr{C}_2$ of type A_3. Now, we give some useful notations.

We choose $I_0 = \{1,3\}$. The quiver Γ_2 is defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (1,1) & \leftarrow & (1,2) & \rightarrow & (1,3) \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow & & \\ (2,1) & \rightarrow & (2,2) & \leftarrow & (2,3). \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \\ (3,1) & \leftarrow & (3,2) & \rightarrow & (3,3) \end{array}$$

$\mathscr{A}_2 = \mathscr{A}(\Gamma_2)$ be the cluster algebra attached to the initial seed $(\mathbf{u}, \Gamma_2)$, where $\mathbf{u} = (x_{(i,k)} \mid i \in I,\ 1 \leq k \leq 3)$, $x_{(i,3)} (i \in I)$ are the frozen variables.

To simplify, we write $W_{k,r}^{(i)}$ instead of $W_{k,q^r}^{(i)}$. Then

$$R_2 = \mathbb{Z}\left[[W_{1,0}^{(1)}], [W_{1,2}^{(1)}], [W_{1,4}^{(1)}], [W_{1,1}^{(2)}], [W_{1,3}^{(2)}], [W_{1,5}^{(2)}], [W_{1,0}^{(3)}], [W_{1,2}^{(3)}], [W_{1,4}^{(3)}]\right].$$

Theorem III.1. ***(Main result)*** *The map*

$$\begin{array}{l} x_{(1,1)} \mapsto [W_{1,2}^{(1)}],\ x_{(1,2)} \mapsto [W_{2,2}^{(1)}],\ x_{(1,3)} \mapsto [W_{3,0}^{(1)}], \\ x_{(2,1)} \mapsto [W_{1,3}^{(2)}],\ x_{(2,2)} \mapsto [W_{2,1}^{(2)}],\ x_{(2,3)} \mapsto [W_{3,1}^{(2)}], \\ x_{(3,1)} \mapsto [W_{1,2}^{(3)}],\ x_{(3,2)} \mapsto [W_{2,2}^{(3)}],\ x_{(3,3)} \mapsto [W_{3,0}^{(3)}] \end{array}$$

extends to a ring isomorphism $\imath$ from the cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_2$ to the Grothendieck ring R_2 of $\mathscr{C}_2$. If we identify $\mathscr{A}_2$ with R_2 via $\imath$, $\mathscr{C}_2$ becomes a monoidal categorification of $\mathscr{A}_2$.

In order to prove Theorem III.1 we need to determine whether two cluster variables are compatible. When a quiver is bipartite of type ADE, two cluster variables are compatible if and only if the corresponding two roots are compatible. So we take a mutation equivalent quiver Γ as initial quiver.

Proposition III.2. *If $\mathscr{A}$ is the cluster algebra of rank 6 with initial seed $(\mathbf{x}, \Gamma)$, where $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \ldots, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8)$, x_6, x_7, x_8 frozen variables, Γ defined by Figure2. Then the map $\imath$: $\mathscr{A} \to R_2$,*

$$\begin{array}{lll} x_0 \mapsto [L(Y_{1,0})], & x_1 \mapsto [L(Y_{1,4}Y_{2,1})], & x_2 \mapsto [L(Y_{1,4}Y_{2,1}Y_{3,4})], \\ x_4 \mapsto [L(Y_{3,0})], & x_3 \mapsto [L(Y_{2,1}Y_{3,4})], & x_5 \mapsto [L(Y_{2,5})], \\ x_6 \mapsto [L(Y_{2,1}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5})], & x_7 \mapsto [L(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{1,4})], & x_8 \mapsto [L(Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}Y_{3,4})] \end{array}$$

can be extended to a ring isomorphism. If we identify $\mathscr{A}$ with R_2 via $\imath$, $\mathscr{C}_2$ becomes a monoidal categorification of $\mathscr{A}$.

Remark III.3. (i) *If h is the isomrphism from $\mathscr{A}$ to $\mathscr{A}_2$, then $\iota \circ h$ is a map from $\mathscr{A}$ to R_2. But we still denote the map from $\mathscr{A}$ to R_2 by ι without confusing.*

(ii) *In order to distinguish the label of the vertices of Dynkin diagram E_6 from A_3, we denote by $\widetilde{I} = [0,5]$ the vertex set of Dynkin diagram E_6, and chose $\widetilde{I}_0 = \{1,3,5\}$.*

Proof. The proof will be given in next section. □

Theorem III.4. *Theorem III.1 and Proposition III.2 are equivalent.*

Proof. Theorem III.1 $\Rightarrow$ Proposition III.2.

Let a finite mutation sequence $\mu = \mu_{(3,2)}\mu_{(1,2)}\mu_{(2,2)}\mu_{(2,1)}\mu_{(3,1)}\mu_{(1,1)}\mu_{(2,1)}$, then $\mu(\Gamma_2) = \Gamma$ if we ignore the label of vertices. And

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu(x_{(1,1)}) = x_1,\ \mu(x_{(1,2)}) = x_0,\ \mu(x_{(1,3)}) = x_6,\\
&\mu(x_{(2,1)}) = x_2,\ \mu(x_{(2,2)}) = x_5,\ \mu(x_{(2,3)}) = x_7,\\
&\mu(x_{(3,1)}) = x_3,\ \mu(x_{(3,2)}) = x_4,\ \mu(x_{(3,3)}) = x_8.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, cluster algebras $\mathscr{A}_2$ and $\mathscr{A}$ are isomorphism.

In the next section, we give the accurate truncated q-characters of all real prime simple objects. Then the result follows.

Proposition III.2 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem III.1. The proof is similar. □

IV. THE PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

We prove the proposition by 3 steps. Firstly, we give a polynomial ring structure on cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}$, moreover, give the ring isomorphism from $\mathscr{A}$ to R_2. Secondly, we calculate the truncated q-characters of all real prime simple objects of $\mathscr{C}_2$. Lastly, we finish the proof of the main result.

Let Q be the root lattice of type E_6. Let $\Phi \subset Q$ be the root system, the vertices of the Dynkin diagram are labelled as Proposition III.2. Denote by $\Phi_{\geq -1}$ the subset of almost positive roots.

A. Ring isomorphism

For every cluster variable $\frac{\square}{\prod x_i^{d_i}}$, there exists a unique almost positive root $\sum d_i\alpha_i$, where α_i $(0 \leq i \leq 5)$ is the simple root corresponding to i $(0 \leq i \leq 5)$. So we denote $x\big[\sum d_i\alpha_i\big]$ by the variable $\frac{\square}{\prod x_i^{d_i}}$.

Theorem IV.1. *The cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}$ is equal to the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[a,a',b,c,c',d,d',e,f]$, where $a=x_0, a'=x_4, b=x_5, c=x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1\big], c'=x\big[\alpha_3+\alpha_4\big], d=x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5\big], d'=x\big[\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big], e=x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big], f=x\big[\alpha_2+\alpha_5\big]$.*

Proof. The detailed expressions of cluster variables are shown in Appendix A. Up to the symmetries $0\leftrightarrow 4$, $1\leftrightarrow 3$, $7\leftrightarrow 8$ of $\widetilde{I}$, we reduce to the following 24 variables.

$x_6=bef+dc'+cd'-e-cfc'-bdd'$, $\quad x_7=ac'd+d'-ae-c'f$, $\quad x\big[-\alpha_1\big]=fc'-d'$,

$x\big[-\alpha_2\big]=e-dc'+cfc'-cd'$, $\quad x\big[\alpha_0\big]=dc'-e$, $\quad x\big[\alpha_1\big]=ac-1$,

$x\big[\alpha_2\big]=bf-1$, $\quad x\big[\alpha_5\big]=ef-dd'$, $\quad x\big[\alpha_1+\alpha_2\big]=1-ac+abd-bf$,

$x\big[\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5\big]=a'd'-f$, $\quad x\big[\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4\big]=bd'-c'$,

$x\big[\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5\big]=f-ad+a'(ae-d')$, $\quad x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3\big]=c-bd+a'(be-cc')$,

$x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4\big]=be-cc'$, $\quad x\big[\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big]ae-d'$,

$x\big[\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3\big]=-1+a(c-bd)+bf+a'(abe+c'-acc'-bd')$,

$x\big[\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5\big]=f[-1+a(c-bd)+bf]+a'[ae+(-ad+f)c'-(ac-abd+bf)d']$,

$x\big[\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5\big]=d[-1+a(c-bd)+bf]+a'[e+abde-bef+c(-ad+f)c'-cd']$,

$x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5\big]=(c-bd)f+a'(e-dc'-cd'+bdd')$,

$x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big]=e-dc'+(-c+bd)d'$,

$x\big[\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big]=e+abde-bef+c(-ad+f)c'-cd'$,

$x\big[\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big]=(cf-d)c'+(c-bd)(ae-d')+ea'(abe+c'-acc'-bd')$,

$x\big[\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big]=e-2bef+abcef-ab^2def+b^2ef^2+(-cf(-2+ac+bf)+d(-1+abcf))c'-cd'+bdd'+a'(be-cc')[ae+(-ad+f)c'-(ac-abd+bf)d']$,

$x\big[\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\big]=-e(ad-f)(-1+bf)+[-d+cf+e(1+abd-bf)a']d'-ca'd'^2-(ad-f)c'(d-cf+ca'd')$.

As shown, all cluster variables can be represented by some polynomial. And furthermore, it is can be checked that these 9 generators are algebraically independent.

Hence, $\mathscr{A}=\mathbb{Z}[a,a',b,c,c',d,d',e,f]$. □

Proposition IV.2. *The assignment*

$$x\big[-\alpha_0\big]\mapsto[L(Y_{1,0})],\quad x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5\big]\mapsto[L(Y_{1,2})],\quad x\big[\alpha_3+\alpha_4\big]\mapsto[L(Y_{1,4})],$$
$$x\big[-\alpha_4\big]\mapsto[L(Y_{3,0})],\quad x\big[\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big]\mapsto[L(Y_{3,2})],\quad x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1\big]\mapsto[L(Y_{3,4})],$$
$$x\big[\alpha_2+\alpha_5\big]\mapsto[L(Y_{2,1})],\ x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big]\mapsto[L(Y_{2,3})],\quad x\big[-\alpha_5\big]\mapsto[L(Y_{2,5})],$$

extends to a ring isomorphism ι from $\mathscr{A}$ to R_2.

Proof. Both cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}$ and Grothendieck ring R_2 are polynomial rings and an one-to-one correspondence among generators is defined as in the proposition, so they are isomorphic. □

B. Truncated q-characters of real prime objects

For each $\beta \in \Phi_{\geq -1}$, we attach a simple object $S(\beta)$ of $\mathscr{C}_2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
S(-\alpha_0) &= L(Y_{1,0}), & S(\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5) &= L(Y_{1,2}), & S(\alpha_3+\alpha_4) &= L(Y_{1,4}),\\
S(-\alpha_4) &= L(Y_{3,0}), & S(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5) &= L(Y_{3,2}), & S(\alpha_0+\alpha_1) &= L(Y_{3,4}),\\
S(\alpha_2+\alpha_5) &= L(Y_{2,1}), & S(\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5) &= L(Y_{2,3}), & S(-\alpha_5) &= L(Y_{2,5}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S(-\alpha_1) &= L(Y_{1,4}Y_{2,1}), & S(-\alpha_2) &= L(Y_{1,4}Y_{2,1}Y_{3,4}), & S(-\alpha_3) &= L(Y_{2,1}Y_{3,4}),\\
S(\alpha_0) &= L(Y_{1,2}Y_{1,4}), & S(\alpha_4) &= L(Y_{3,2}Y_{3,4}), & S(\alpha_5) &= L(Y_{2,1}Y_{2,3}),\\
S(\alpha_1) &= L(Y_{1,0}Y_{3,4}), & S(\alpha_2) &= L(Y_{2,1}Y_{2,5}), & S(\alpha_3) &= L(Y_{1,4}Y_{3,0}),
\end{aligned}$$

For any positive roots $\alpha = \sum_{i\in I} a_i\alpha_i \in \Phi_{>0} - \{\Pi,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_5\}$, α can be uniquely written as:

(i) if $a_1 = a_2 \geq a_3$, $\alpha = a_3(\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5) + (a_1-a_3)(\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5) + \sum_{i=0,4,5} b_i(-\alpha_i)$ for some $b_i \in \mathbb{N}$,

(ii) if $a_1 \leq a_2 = a_3$, $\alpha = a_1(\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5) + (a_3-a_1)(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5) + \sum_{i=0,4,5} b_i(-\alpha_i)$ for some $b_i \in \mathbb{N}$,

(iii) if $a_1 = a_3 < a_2$, $\alpha = \lfloor \frac{a_2}{2} \rfloor(\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5) + \lfloor \frac{a_2}{2} \rfloor(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5) + (a_1 - \lfloor \frac{a_2}{2} \rfloor)(\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5) + \sum_{i=0,4,5} b_i(-\alpha_i)$ for some $b_i \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\lfloor \frac{a_2}{2} \rfloor$ denotes the biggest integer $\leq \frac{a_2}{2}$.

Correspondingly, we define $S(\alpha)$:

(i) if $a_1 = a_2 \geq a_3$, $S(\alpha) = Y_{1,0}^{b_0} Y_{1,2}^{a_1-a_3} Y_{2,3}^{a_3} Y_{2,5}^{b_5} Y_{3,0}^{b_4} Y_{3,2}^{0}$,

(ii) if $a_1 \leq a_2 = a_3$, $S(\alpha) = Y_{1,0}^{b_0} Y_{1,2}^{0} Y_{2,3}^{a_1} Y_{2,5}^{b_5} Y_{3,0}^{b_4} Y_{3,2}^{a_3-a_1}$,

(iii) if $a_1 = a_3 < a_2$, $S(\alpha) = Y_{1,0}^{b_0} Y_{1,2}^{\lfloor \frac{a_2}{2} \rfloor} Y_{2,3}^{a_1-\lfloor \frac{a_2}{2} \rfloor} Y_{2,5}^{b_5} Y_{3,0}^{b_4} Y_{3,2}^{\lfloor \frac{a_2}{2} \rfloor}$.

For $i \in I_0 = \{1,3\}$, we denote $F_i = L(Y_{i,0}Y_{i,2}Y_{i,4})$; for $i = 2$, we denote $F_2 = L(Y_{2,1}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5})$.

Lemma IV.3. *For $\forall \beta \in \Phi_{\geq -1}$, we can calculate the truncated q-character $\chi_q(S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ exactly.*

The 18 Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules of $\mathscr{C}_2$ are minuscule, their q-characters can be obtained by FM-algorithm.

Up to the symmetries $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ of I, we list the truncated q-characters of the following 12 Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules.

$\chi_q(L(Y_{2,3}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{2,3}(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}), \quad \chi_q(L(Y_{1,2}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,2}(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}),$

$\chi_q(L(Y_{1,4}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,4}, \quad \chi_q(L(Y_{1,2}Y_{1,4}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,2}Y_{1,4}, \quad \chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{1,4}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{1,4},$

$\chi_q(L(Y_{2,5}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{2,5}, \quad \chi_q(L(Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}, \quad \chi_q(L(Y_{2,1}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{2,1}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5},$

$\chi_q(L(Y_{2,1}Y_{2,3}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{2,1}Y_{2,3}(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}),$

$\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,0}(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}),$

$\chi_q(L(Y_{2,1}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{2,1}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}),$

$\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}).$

By Theorem II.4 and Proposition II.7, we know that the following 8 objects are also minuscule.

$\chi_q(L(Y_{2,5}Y_{1,2}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{2,5}Y_{1,2}(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}), \quad \chi_q(L(Y_{1,4}Y_{2,1}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,4}Y_{2,1}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}),$

$\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}), \quad \chi_q(L(Y_{1,4}Y_{2,1}Y_{3,4}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,4}Y_{2,1}Y_{3,4}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1}),$

$\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{3,4}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,0}Y_{3,4}(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}),$

$\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}),$

$\chi_q(L(Y_{2,3}Y_{1,0}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{2,3}Y_{1,0}(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}),$

$\chi_q(L(Y_{2,1}Y_{2,5}))_{\leq 4} = Y_{2,1}Y_{2,5}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}).$

Though the remaining real prime simple objects are not minuscule, we can calculate them accurately.

Let us introduce for $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[Y_{i,r}^{\pm}; i \in I, r \in \mathbb{Z}]$ the notation

$$P \cap Q = \{\textit{the monomials both contained in } P \textit{ and } Q\}.$$

Consider $L(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0})$,

$N(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0})_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,0}Y_{3,0}Y_{2,3}(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}+$
$A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}+2A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}) \leq \chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0})).$

By Theorem II.4, we have

$\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0}))_{\leq 4} \leq \chi_q(L(Y_{i,0}Y_{2,3}))_{\leq 4}\chi_q(L(Y_{j,0}))_{\leq 4}$, where $i=1, j=3$ *or* $i=3, j=1$.

But $(\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}))_{\leq 4}\chi_q(L(Y_{3,0}))_{\leq 4} - N(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0})_{\leq 4}) \cap (\chi_q(L(Y_{3,0}Y_{2,3}))_{\leq 4}\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}))_{\leq 4} -$
$N(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0})_{\leq 4}) = \emptyset$.

Hence, $\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0}))_{\leq 4} \;=\; N(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0})_{\leq 4}$.

In same way, we have

$\chi_q(L(Y_{1,2}Y_{3,2}Y_{2,5}))_{\leq 4} = N(Y_{1,2}Y_{3,2}Y_{2,5})_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,2}Y_{3,2}Y_{2,5}(1+A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})$,

$\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}))_{\leq 4} = N(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5})_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})$,

$\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}))_{\leq 4} = N(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0})_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})$,

$\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}))_{\leq 4} = N(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0})_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}1+A_{3,1}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1})$,

$\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2}))_{\leq 4} = N(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2})_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2}(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1})$,

$\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}))_{\leq 4} = N(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2})_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})$,

$\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}))_{\leq 4} = N(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2})_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}+2A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-1}+2A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-1}+2A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-1}+2A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2})$,

$\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}^2Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}))_{\leq 4} = N(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}^2Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0})_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}^2Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}(1+A_{3,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1})$,

$\chi_q(L(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}^2Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}))_{\leq 4} = N(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}^2Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2})_{\leq 4} = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}^2Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})$.

Theorem IV.4. *We have*

$$\iota(x[\beta]) = [S(\beta)], \quad \iota(x_6) = [F_2], \quad \iota(x_7) = [F_1], \quad \iota(x_8) = [F_3], \qquad (\beta \in \Phi_{\geq -1}).$$

Proof. The result follows from lemma IV.3. □

C. Compatible subsets

In this subsection, we will give a specific description of compatible subsets of type E_6.

For any $\alpha,\ \beta \in \Phi_{\geq -1}$, Fomin and Zelevinsky[16] associate a nonnegative integer $(\alpha||\beta)$, known as the compatibility degree.

Let Q denote the root lattice, $Q^\vee$ the root lattice for the dual root system. The vertices of the Dynkin diagram are labelled as Proposition III.2. We have a partition $\widetilde{I} = \widetilde{I}_0 \sqcup \widetilde{I}_1$, and we choose $\widetilde{I}_0 = \{1,3,5\}$. For $\alpha \in Q$, we denote by $[\alpha : \alpha_i]$ the coefficient of α_i in the expansion of α in the basis $\{\alpha_i\ : i \in \widetilde{I}\}$.

Let τ_+ and τ_- denote the automorphisms of Q given by

$$[\tau_\varepsilon \alpha : \alpha_i] = \begin{cases} -[\alpha : \alpha_i] - \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} \max([\alpha : \alpha_j], 0) & \text{if } \varepsilon(i) = \varepsilon; \\ [\alpha : \alpha_i] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Both τ_+ and τ_- are involutions and preserve $\Phi_{\geq -1}$.

An bilinear pairing

$$\begin{aligned} Q^\vee \times Q &\to \quad \mathbb{Z} \\ (\xi, \gamma) &\mapsto \{\xi, \gamma\} \end{aligned}$$

defined by $\{\xi, \gamma\} = \sum_{i \in \widetilde{I}} \varepsilon(i)[\xi : \alpha_i^\vee][\gamma : \alpha_i]$.

Proposition IV.5. *Proposition 3.1*[16] *The compatibility degree* $(\alpha \| \beta)$ *is given by*

$$(\alpha \| \beta) = \max(\{\alpha^\vee, \tau_+ \beta\}, \{\tau_+ \alpha^\vee, \beta\}, 0)\,.$$

Two roots $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi_{\geq -1}$ are compatible if $(\alpha \| \beta) = 0$.

For $\alpha \in \Phi_{\geq -1}$, denote C_α be the set of almost positive roots which are compatible with α. We list all such set C_α in Appendix B.

D. Simple tensor products

Proposition IV.6. *Theorem 1.1*[19] *Let* $S_1, \cdots, S_N$ *be simple objects of* $\mathscr{C}$. *The tensor product* $S_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes S_N$ *is simple if and only if* $S_i \otimes S_j$ *is simple for any* $i < j$.

E. Proof of Theorem IV.8

Let $\gamma \in Q$, a cluster expansion of γ is a way to express γ as $\gamma = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\geq -1}} m_\alpha \alpha$, where all $m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $m_\alpha m_\beta = 0$ whenever $(\alpha \| \beta) \neq 0$.

Proposition IV.7. *Theorem 3.11*[16] *Every element of the root lattice has a unique cluster expansion.*

For any $\alpha \in \Phi_{\geq -1}$, we have attached a simple object $S(\alpha) = L(m_\alpha)$ for some highest weight monomial m_α. Set $Y^\alpha = m_\alpha$, then for $\gamma = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\geq -1}} n_\alpha \alpha$, define $Y^\gamma = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\geq -1}} (Y^\alpha)^{n_\alpha}$.

Let V be a simple object in $\mathscr{C}_2$. Its highest weight monomial of the form $m = \prod_{i \in I} Y_{i,\xi_i}^{a_i} Y_{i,\xi_i+2}^{b_i} Y_{i,\xi_i+4}^{c_i}$ for some $a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Write m as

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \prod_{i \in I} (Y_{i,\xi_i} Y_{i,\xi_i+2} Y_{i,\xi_i+4})^{\min(a_i,b_i,c_i)} Y^\gamma \\ &= \prod_{i \in I} (Y_{i,\xi_i} Y_{i,\xi_i+2} Y_{i,\xi_i+4})^{\min(a_i,b_i,c_i)} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\geq -1}} (Y^\alpha)^{n_\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

for some unique cluster expansion $\gamma = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\geq -1}} n_\alpha \alpha \in Q$, and the factorization of m is unique.

Theorem IV.8. *$\mathscr{C}_2$ is a monoidal categorification of $\mathscr{A}$. That is, the cluster monomials of $\mathscr{A}$ are the classes of all the real simple objects of $\mathscr{M}$, and the cluster variables of $\mathscr{A}$ (including the frozen ones) are the classes of all the real prime simple objects of $\mathscr{M}$.*

Proof. In order to prove this theorem, we then need to prove that

$$L(m) \cong \bigotimes_{i \in I} F_i^{\otimes \min(a_i,b_i,c_i)} \bigotimes_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\geq -1}} S(\alpha)^{\otimes n_\alpha}. \tag{IV.1}$$

Because of Proposition IV.6, the proof of equation IV.1 reduces to check that

(i) $F_i \otimes S(\alpha)$ and $F_i \otimes F_j$ are simple for each $\alpha \in \Phi_{\geq -1}$ and $i, j \in I$;

(ii) if $(\alpha \| \beta) = 0$,then $S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta)$ is simple;

(iii) for every $\alpha \in \Phi_{\geq -1}$, $S(\alpha)$ is prime;

(iv) for every $i \in I$, F_i is prime.

Consider (iii) and (iv) firstly.

Note that the simple objects $L(Y_{i,\xi_i+2k})(i \in I,\ 0 \leq k \leq 2)$ are clearly prime.

Indeed, for $\alpha = \alpha_i (i \in \widetilde{I})$ or $-\alpha_1$ or $-\alpha_3$, $S(\alpha) = L(Y_{i,l} Y_{j,k})$ for some i, j, k, l, if $S(\alpha)$ is not prime we only have $S(\alpha) \cong L(Y_{i,l}) \otimes L(Y_{j,k})$, and $\chi_q(S(\alpha)) < \chi_q(L(Y_{i,l}))\chi_q(L(Y_{j,k}))$.

For $S(-\alpha_2) = L(Y_{1,4} Y_{2,1} Y_{3,4})$, if $S(-\alpha_2)$ is not prime we have 3 possible factorizations, but all factorizations can not be established by calculating their truncated q-characters.

Since we have given explicit formulas of $\chi_q(F_i)_{\leq 4} (i \in I)$ and $\chi_q(S(\alpha))_{\leq 4}$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_{\geq -1}$. We can check that every $S(\alpha)$ is prime. Thus (iii) and (iv) follows.

There are second method. Recently, the authors[4] they explore the relation between self extensions of simple objects of $\mathscr{C}$ and the property of a simple object being prime. By Theorem 2[4], we can quickly check that $S(\alpha)(\alpha \in \Phi_{\geq -1})$ and $F_i (i \in I)$ are prime.

Now we dispose of (i).

For every $i,j \in I$, $\chi_q(F_i \otimes F_j)_{\leq 4} = \chi_q(F_i)_{\leq 4}\chi_q(F_j)_{\leq 4} = Y_{i,\xi_i}Y_{i,\xi_i+2}Y_{i,\xi_i+4}Y_{j,\xi_j}Y_{j,\xi_j+2}Y_{j,\xi_j+4}$, hence $F_i \otimes F_j$ is simple.

$N(Y_{i,\xi_i}Y_{i,\xi_i+2}Y_{i,\xi_i+4}Y^{\alpha})_{\leq 4} = Y_{i,\xi_i}Y_{i,\xi_i+2}Y_{i,\xi_i+4}N(Y^{\alpha})_{\leq 4} = \chi_q(F_i)_{\leq 4}\chi_q(L(Y^{\alpha})_{\leq 4}$, hence $F_i \otimes S(\alpha)$ is simple.

We dispose of (ii) finally.

We have described explicitly the pair of compatible roots of E_6 in Appendix B. Up to the symmetries $0 \leftrightarrow 4$, $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ of $\widetilde{I}$, we are thus reduced to those C_α, where $\alpha \in C = \{\pm\alpha_i (i = 0,1,2,5), \alpha_1+\alpha_2, \alpha_2+\alpha_5, \alpha_3+\alpha_4, \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3, \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2, \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5, \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4, \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5, \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5, \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5, \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5, \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5, \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5, \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4, \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5, \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5, \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5, \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5, \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5, \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\}$.

For every $\alpha \in C$, $\beta \in C_\alpha$, by Theorem II.4, we know that $\chi_q(L(Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta})) \leq \chi_q(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta))$. In order to prove that $S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta) \cong L(Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta})$. We only need to check that all the dominant monomials of $\chi_q(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta))$ belong to $\chi_q(L(Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}))$.

For the most of the cases, we can check that each dominant monomial occurs in some $\varphi_J(Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}M) \leq \chi_q(L(Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}))$, where $J \subseteq I$, M is a J-dominant monomial. For such cases, we give a brief descriptions.

(1) $\alpha = -\alpha_0$, $Y^{\alpha} = Y_{1,0}$. If $\beta \in C_\alpha \backslash \{-\alpha_2, -\alpha_3, \alpha_1, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_1+\alpha_2, \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3, \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5, \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4, \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5, \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5, \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5, \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5, \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha) \bigotimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

If $\beta = -\alpha_2$, $Y^{\beta} = Y_{1,4}Y_{2,1}Y_{3,4}$, denote $m = Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

Since $mA_{1,1}^{-1} = Y_{1,2}^{-1}Y_{1,4}Y_{2,1}^2Y_{3,4}$ is $\{2,3\}$-dominant, consider $\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-1})$, $\overline{mA_{1,1}^{-1}} = Y_{2,1}(Y_{2,1}Y_{3,4})$, by Proposition II.7, we have

$$\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4} = mA_{1,1}^{-1}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{2,2}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}) \leq \chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta = -\alpha_3$, $Y^{\beta} = Y_{2,1}Y_{3,4}$, denote $m = Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$. The case is similar to the above.

If $\beta=\alpha_1$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{3,4}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

It is can be checked that $N(m)_{\leq 4}+mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}=\chi_q(S(\alpha)\bigotimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$. If $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$ is not contained in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$, $L(m)$ thus be minuscule and $\chi_q(L(m))=FM(m)$. But, $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}\leq N(m)$ is not contained in $FM(m)$.

If $\beta=\alpha_4$, $Y^\beta=Y_{3,2}Y_{3,4}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}),\ \varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{1,1}^{-1}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1}),\ \varphi_3(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}(1+A_{3,3}^{-1}).$$

Hence $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$ is contained in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$.

If $\beta=\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,1}Y_{2,3}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}),\ \varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{1,1}^{-1}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}).$$

Hence $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$ is contained in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$.

$mA_{1,1}^{-1}$ is contained in $\varphi_1(m)$, hence occurs in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

Since $\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}=\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(Y_{1,0})_{\leq 4}\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0})_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{1,1}^{-1})$. So, we have $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}+2mA_{1,1}^{-1}\leq\chi_q(L(m))$.

$$\varphi_3(2mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=2mA_{1,1}^{-1}(1+A_{3,1}^{-1}),\ \varphi_2(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1}),$$

hence $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$ occurs in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$.

$mA_{1,1}^{-1}$ is contained in $\varphi_1(m)$, hence occurs in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}=\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(Y_{1,0})_{\leq 4}\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5})_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\le 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

Since $\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\le 4}=m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})$, we have $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}+2mA_{1,1}^{-1}\le\chi_q(L(m))$.

$$\varphi_3(2mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\le 4}=2mA_{1,1}^{-1}(1+A_{3,1}^{-1}),\ \ \varphi_2(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\le 4}=2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}),$$

hence $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$ occurs in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\le 4}$.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\le 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_1(m)_{\le 4}=m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1})(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}),\ \ \varphi_3(m)_{\le 4}=m(1+A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}),$$

$$\varphi_3(2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1})_{\le 4}=2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}(1+A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}),$$

$$\varphi_2(2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\le 4}=2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}).$$

Consider $\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\le 4}$, $\overline{mA_{3,3}^{-1}}=(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2})(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5})$, so

$$\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\le 4}=mA_{3,3}^{-1}(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}).$$

Hence all dominant monomials occur in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\le 4}$.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\le 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$.

Consider $\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)$, $\overline{m}=(Y_{1,0})(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3})$, so

$$\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)=m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}),$$

hence $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$ occurs in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\le 4}$.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\le 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$\varphi_1(m)_{\le 4}=m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1})(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}),\ \ \varphi_3(m)_{\le 4}=m(1+A_{3,3}^{-1})$.

Consider $\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})$, $\overline{mA_{3,3}^{-1}}=(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2})(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5})$ which has been calculated above.

Hence $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ occur in $\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})$.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\le 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$, $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

It is can be checked that

$$mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{3,3}^{-1}), \quad mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$
$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}, \ 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

(2) $\alpha = -\alpha_1$, $Y^{\alpha} = Y_{1,4}Y_{2,1}$. If $\beta \in C_{\alpha}\backslash\{-\alpha_2, -\alpha_3, -\alpha_4, \alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_2+\alpha_5\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

If $\beta = -\alpha_2$, $Y^{\beta} = Y_{1,4}Y_{2,1}Y_{3,4}$, denote $m = Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(m)_{\leq 4} \leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta = -\alpha_3$, $Y^{\beta} = Y_{2,1}Y_{3,4}$, denote $m = Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $mA_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}, \ mA_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(m)_{\leq 4}, \ mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_3(mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-2})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta = -\alpha_4$, $Y^{\beta} = Y_{3,0}$, denote $m = Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4} \leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta = \alpha_2$, $Y^{\beta} = Y_{2,1}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m = Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4} \leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta = \alpha_4$, $Y^{\beta} = Y_{3,2}Y_{3,4}$, denote $m = Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1} \leq \varphi_3(mA_{2,2}^{-1})_{\leq 4} \leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta = \alpha_5$, $Y^{\beta} = Y_{2,1}Y_{2,3}$, denote $m = Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{2,2}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{2,2}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(m)_{\leq 4} \leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta = \alpha_2+\alpha_5$, $Y^{\beta} = Y_{2,1}$, denote $m = Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4} \leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

(3) $\alpha=-\alpha_2$, $Y^{\alpha}=Y_{1,4}Y_{2,1}Y_{3,4}$. If $\beta\in C_{\alpha}\backslash\{-\alpha_0,-\alpha_1,-\alpha_3,\alpha_5\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

For $\beta=-\alpha_0,-\alpha_1$, we have done.

If $\beta=-\alpha_3$, $Y^{\beta}=Y_{2,1}Y_{3,4}$, denote $m=Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}$.

We have $mA_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}\leq\chi_q(L(m))$.

If $\beta=\alpha_5$, $Y^{\beta}=Y_{2,1}Y_{2,3}$, denote $m=Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{2,2}^{-1}$.

We have $mA_{2,2}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(m)_{\leq 4}\leq\chi_q(L(m))$.

(4) $\alpha=-\alpha_5$, $Y^{\alpha}=Y_{2,5}$. If $\beta\in C_{\alpha}\backslash\{-\alpha_0,-\alpha_1,-\alpha_2,-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

For $\beta=-\alpha_0,-\alpha_1,-\alpha_2,-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4$, we have done.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3$, $Y^{\beta}=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_3(mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{1,1}^{-1}(1+A_{3,1}^{-1}),\ \ \varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1})\leq\chi_q(L(m)).$$

(5) $\alpha=\alpha_0$, $Y^{\alpha}=Y_{1,2}Y_{1,4}$. If $\beta\in C_{\alpha}\backslash\{-\alpha_1,-\alpha_2,-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,-\alpha_5,\alpha_2,\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

For $\beta=-\alpha_1,-\alpha_2,-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,-\alpha_5$, we have done.

If $\beta=\alpha_2$, $Y^{\beta}=Y_{2,1}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_2(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{2,2}^{-1}),\ \ \varphi_1(mA_{2,2}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{2,2}^{-1}(1+A_{1,3}^{-1})\leq\chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_2+\alpha_5$, $Y^{\beta}=Y_{2,1}$, denote $m=Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_2(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{2,2}^{-1}),\ \ \varphi_1(mA_{2,2}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{2,2}^{-1}(1+A_{1,3}^{-1})\leq\chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2$, $Y^{\beta}=Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{1,3}^{-1})\leq\chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{1,3}^{-1})\leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}),\ \ \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_3(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}),\ \ \varphi_2(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{3,3}^{-1}(1+A_{2,4}^{-1})\leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}),\ \ \varphi_3(mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{1,3}^{-1}(1+A_{3,3}^{-1}),\ \ \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}).$$

(6) $\alpha=\alpha_1$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{1,0}Y_{3,4}$. If $\beta\in C_\alpha\backslash\{-\alpha_0,-\alpha_2,-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,-\alpha_5,\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

For $\beta=-\alpha_0,-\alpha_2,-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,-\alpha_5$, we have done.

If $\beta=\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,1}Y_{2,3}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}),\ \ \varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{1,1}^{-1}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1})\leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}\leq \varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1})(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1})\leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

Since $\overline{m}_{\{1,2\}}=(Y_{1,0})(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5})$,

$$\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{1,1}^{-1})\leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

Besides, $\varphi_3(2mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4} = 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}(1+A_{3,1}^{-1})$, $\varphi_2(2mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4} = 2mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1}) \leq \chi_q(L(m))$.

Hence all dominant monomials occur in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$.

If $\beta = \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1} \leq \varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4} = m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1})(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}) \leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta = \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4} = m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}) \leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta = \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4} = m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}) \leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

Besides, $\varphi_3(2mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4} = 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}(1+A_{3,1}^{-1})$, $\varphi_2(2mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4} = 2mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})$.

Hence all dominant monomials occur in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$.

If $\beta = \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4} = m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}) \leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

(7) $\alpha = \alpha_2$, $Y^\alpha = Y_{2,1}Y_{2,5}$. If $\beta \in C_\alpha \backslash \{-\alpha_0, -\alpha_1, -\alpha_3, -\alpha_4, -\alpha_5, \alpha_0, \alpha_4, \alpha_2+\alpha_5\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

For $\beta = -\alpha_0, -\alpha_1, -\alpha_3, -\alpha_4, -\alpha_5, \alpha_0$, we have done.

If $\beta = \alpha_4$, $Y^\beta = Y_{3,2}Y_{3,4}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_2(m)_{\leq 4} = m(1+A_{2,2}^{-1}),\ \varphi_3(mA_{2,2}^{-1})_{\leq 4} = mA_{2,2}^{-1}(1+A_{3,3}^{-2}) \leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta = \alpha_2+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{2,1}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

Easily check that $\chi_q(L(Y^\alpha)\otimes L(Y^\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains only two dominant monomials, so $\chi_q(L(Y^\alpha Y^\beta))_{\leq 4}$ has two dominant monomials at most. If $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ is not contained in $\chi_q(L(Y^\alpha Y^\beta))_{\leq 4}$, then $L(Y^\alpha Y^\beta)$ is minuscule, and $\chi_q(L(Y^\alpha Y^\beta)) = FM(Y^\alpha Y^\beta)$.

$\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4} = FM(m)_{\leq 4} = m(1+2A_{2,2}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-2}+2A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+2A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+2A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-1}+2A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}+A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}+2A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+4A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+2A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}+2A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}+A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-1})$.

By Proposition II.7, we fix $i=1$, then there is a unique decomposition of $\chi_q(L(m))$ as a finite sum $\chi_q(L(m)) = \sum_{\substack{m\in\mathscr{M}_{1,+}\\ \lambda_m\geq 0}} \lambda_m\varphi_1(m)$. In other word, any monomial in $\chi_q(L(m))$ must be contained in some $\varphi_1(m')$, where $m'\in\mathscr{M}_{1,+}$ and $m'\leq\chi_q(L(m)$. But, the monomial $A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ does not satisfy this property. Contradict.

(8) $\alpha=\alpha_5$, $Y^\alpha = Y_{2,1}Y_{2,3}$. If $\beta\in C_\alpha\backslash\{-\alpha_0,-\alpha_1,-\alpha_2,-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,\alpha_1,\alpha_3,\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

For $\beta=-\alpha_0,-\alpha_1,-\alpha_2,-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,\alpha_1,\alpha_3$, we have done.

If $\beta=\alpha_2+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,1}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{2,2}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{2,2}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(m)_{\leq 4} = m(1+A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})\leq\chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_2(mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4} = mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})^2\leq\chi_q(L(m)).$$

(9) $\alpha=\alpha_1+\alpha_2$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}$. If $\beta\in C_\alpha\backslash\{-\alpha_0,-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,-\alpha_5,\alpha_1,\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

For $\beta=-\alpha_0,-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,-\alpha_5,\alpha_1$, we have done.

If $\beta=\alpha_2+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,1}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

As before, $\chi_q(L(Y^\alpha)\otimes L(Y^\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains only two dominant monomials, so $\chi_q(L(Y^\alpha Y^\beta))_{\leq 4}$ has two dominant monomials at most. If $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ is not contained in $\chi_q(L(Y^\alpha Y^\beta))_{\leq 4}$, then $L(Y^\alpha Y^\beta)$ is minuscule, and $\chi_q(L(Y^\alpha Y^\beta)) = FM(Y^\alpha Y^\beta)$.

$FM(m)_{\leq 4} = m(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}+2A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+2A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})$.

By Proposition II.7, we fix $i=1$, then there is a unique decomposition of $\chi_q(L(m))$ as a finite sum $\chi_q(L(m)) = \sum_{\substack{m\in\mathscr{M}_{1,+}\\ \lambda_m\geq 0}} \lambda_m\varphi_1(m)$. In other word, any monomial in $\chi_q(L(m))$ must be contained in some $\varphi_1(m')$, where $m'\in\mathscr{M}_{1,+}$ and $m'\leq\chi_q(L(m)$. But, the monomial $A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$ does not satisfy this property.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4} = m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1})(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}),\ \varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4} = mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4} = m(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}\leq\varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4} = m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1})(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1})\leq\chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4} = m(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})$,

$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})$.

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4},\ mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})\leq\chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)\leq\chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})\leq\chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}^2Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})\leq\chi_q(L(m)).$$

(10) $\alpha=\alpha_2+\alpha_5$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{2,1}$. If $\beta\in C_\alpha\backslash\{-\alpha_0,-\alpha_1,-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,\alpha_0,\alpha_2,\alpha_4,\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

For $\beta=-\alpha_0,-\alpha_1,-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,\alpha_0,\alpha_2,\alpha_4,\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\alpha_2+\alpha_3$, we have done.

If $\beta=\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

Since $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$ has two dominant monomials at most. If $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ is not contained in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$, $L(m)$ is minuscule, and $\chi_q(L(m))=FM(m)$.

$$FM(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}+2A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}+$$

$$2A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-1}.$$

By Proposition II.7, we fix $i=3$, then there is a unique decomposition of $\chi_q(L(m))$ as a finite sum

$$\chi_q(L(m)) = \sum_{\substack{m\in\mathscr{M}_{3,+}\\ \lambda_m\geq 0}} \lambda_m \varphi_3(m).$$

In other word, any monomial in $\chi_q(L(m)$ must be contained in some $\varphi_3(m')$, where $m'\in\mathscr{M}_{3,+}$ and $m'\leq\chi_q(L(m)$. But, the monomial $A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ does not satisfy this property.

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

This case is similar to the case $\beta=\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4$.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}),\qquad 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}),$$

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}),\ mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}).$$

$$2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(2mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}),\qquad mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}),$$

$$2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(2mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}).$$

(11) $\alpha=\alpha_3+\alpha_4$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{1,4}$. If $\beta\in C_\alpha\backslash\{-\alpha_0,-\alpha_1,-\alpha_2,-\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

For $\beta=-\alpha_0,-\alpha_1,-\alpha_2,-\alpha_5$, we have done.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}),\ \varphi_3(mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{1,1}^{-1}(1+A_{3,1}^{-1}),\ \varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})\leq\chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},\ mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}^2Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(m)_{\leq 4},\ mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_3(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}),\ mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{3,3}^{-1}(1+A_{2,4}^{-1})\leq\chi_q(L(m)).$$

(12) $\alpha=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$. In this case, $\chi_q(L(Y^\alpha))$ has two dominant monomials, so we need to consider every $\beta\in C_\alpha$.

For $\beta=-\alpha_0,-\alpha_4,-\alpha_5,\alpha_1,\alpha_3,\alpha_0+\alpha_1,\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\alpha_3+\alpha_4$, we have done.

Up to the symmetries $1\leftrightarrow 3$, $0\leftrightarrow 4$ of $\widetilde{I}$, we reduces to the cases: $\beta\in\{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3$, $Y^\beta=Y^\alpha=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^{2\alpha}$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\alpha))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}$.

$mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2})_{\leq 4}$, $\quad 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1})$.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

$mA_{1,1}^{-1}\leq\varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4}$, $\quad mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}$, $\quad mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}$,

$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}$, $\quad mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}$.

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}$.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{3,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$ and

$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1} \leq \varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4}, \qquad mA_{3,1}^{-1} \leq \varphi_3(m)_{\leq 4},$$
$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1} \leq \varphi_3(mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}, \qquad mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$
$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}, \ 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta = \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$ and $5mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_2(m)_{\leq 4} = m(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}), \qquad \varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4} = m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1})^2,$$
$$\varphi_3(mA_{1,1}^{-2})_{\leq 4} = mA_{1,1}^{-2}(1+A_{3,1}^{-1})^2, \ \varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2})_{\leq 4} = mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}(1+A_{2,2}^{-1})^2(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}),$$

Consider $\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1})$, $\overline{mA_{1,1}^{-1}} = (Y_{2,1}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5})(Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0})(Y_{3,0})$, thus $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}+4mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ $\leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}$. Besides, $\varphi_3(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})_{\leq 4} = mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}(1+A_{3,1}^{-1})$, so $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ has coefficient 5. Consider $\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)$, we have $\overline{m} = (Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5})(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3})$, thus $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq$ $\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}$.

If $\beta = \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(m)_{\leq 4}, \qquad mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(m)_{\leq 4},$$
$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}, \ 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta = \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-2}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1} \leq \varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4}, \qquad mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$
$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}, \qquad 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$
$$mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-2} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-2})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta = \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}^2Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, and $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-2}$.

We can check that all the monomials excepting $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$ of $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are contained in $\chi_q(L(m))\leq 4$. If $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$ is not contained in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$, by Proposition II.7, we fix $i=1$, then there is a unique decomposition of $\chi_q(L(m))$ as a finite sum $\chi_q(L(m)) = \sum_{\substack{m\in\mathscr{M}_{1,+}\\ \lambda_m\geq 0}}\lambda_m\varphi_1(m)$. In other word, any monomial in $\chi_q(L(m))$ must be contained in some $\varphi_1(m')$, where $m'\in\mathscr{M}_{1,+}$ and $m'\leq\chi_q(L(m)$. But, obviously there does not exist such monomial m' such that $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}\leq\varphi_1(m')$.

(13) $\alpha=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}$. If $\beta\in C_\alpha\backslash\{-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,-\alpha_5,\alpha_0,\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

For $\beta=-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,-\alpha_5,\alpha_0,\alpha_2+\alpha_5$, we have done.

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

Consider $\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)$, $\overline{m}=(Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5})(Y_{1,2})$, thus $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}$.

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

Consider $\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})$, $\overline{mA_{3,3}^{-1}}=(Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5})(Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5})(Y_{1,2})$, thus $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}$.

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

Consider $\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})$, $\overline{mA_{3,3}^{-1}}=(Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5})(Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5})(Y_{1,2})$, thus $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}$.

(14) $\alpha=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}$. If $\beta\in C_\alpha\backslash\{-\alpha_0,-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,\alpha_1,\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

For $\beta=-\alpha_0,-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,\alpha_1,\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3$, we have done.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

Consider $\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)$, we have $\overline{m}=(Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5})(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2})$, thus all the 4 dominant monomials occur in $\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)$.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$,

$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} + mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} + 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} + 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1} \leq \varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4},\ mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1} \leq \varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4} = m(1 + A_{1,1}^{-1})(1 + A_{1,3}^{-1} + A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta = \alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$m + 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1} + mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1} + 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$m + mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} + mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4},$$

$$2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} + 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} + mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1} + mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} + mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{3,3}^{-1}(A_{2,4}^{-1} + A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} + 2A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} + A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}(A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} + 2A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}^2Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}+mA_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{3,1}^{-1}(A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+2A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1})(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+$$
$$A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $4mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $4mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$
$$2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4},$$
$$mA_{3,3}^{-1}(A_{2,4}^{-1}+4A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+4A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

(15) $\alpha=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$. If $\beta\in C_\alpha\backslash\{-\alpha_0,-\alpha_5,\alpha_1,\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ a_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

For $\beta=-\alpha_0,-\alpha_5,\alpha_1,\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5$, we have done.

If $\beta=\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_3(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{3,3}^{-1}),\ \ \varphi_2(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{3,3}^{-1}(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}\leq\varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4},\ \ mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},\ \ mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}=\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}\leq \chi_q(L(m)).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}\leq \varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4},\ mA_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}\leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},\ mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$ and $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}\leq \varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4},\ mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}\leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq \varphi_2(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},\ mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}\leq \varphi_2(mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}\leq \varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4},\ mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}^2Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{2,4}^{-1}\leq \varphi_2(m)_{\leq 4},\ mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,3}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{2,4}^{-1}\leq \varphi_2(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_3(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}),\ \varphi_2(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{3,3}^{-1}(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}^2Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1})(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}),\ \ \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mmA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{3,3}^{-1}(A_{2,4}^{-1}+2A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}(A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2})\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

$$\varphi_1(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{1,1}^{-1})(1+A_{1,3}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-1}),\ \ \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}=mA_{1,3}^{-1}(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}).$$

(16) $\alpha=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{1,2}$. If $\beta\in C_\alpha\backslash\{-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,\alpha_0,\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

For $\beta=-\alpha_3,-\alpha_4,\alpha_0,\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3$, we have done.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4},\qquad 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},\ \ mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

$$2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(2mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},\ \ mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}(A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4},\ \ 2mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m),\ 2mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}),\ mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(2mA_{1,3}^{-1}),\ mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1}),\ mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{3,3}^{-1}),\ 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{1,3}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $4mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}(A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1})\leq\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}),\quad 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(2mA_{1,3}^{-1}),$$
$$mA_{3,3}^{-1}(4A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+2A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1})\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m),\ 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}^2Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m),\ mA_{3,3}^{-1}(2A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2})\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1}).$$

(17) $\alpha=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0}$. In this case, $\chi_q(L(Y^\alpha))$ has two dominant monomials, so we need to consider every $\beta\in C_\alpha$.

For $\beta=-\alpha_0,-\alpha_4,\alpha_1,\alpha_3,\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1,\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5$, we have done.

Up to the symmetries $1\leftrightarrow 3$, $0\leftrightarrow 4$ of $\widetilde{I}$, we reduces to the cases: $\beta\in\{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5\}$.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y^\alpha$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\le 4}$ are m, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}\le\varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}),\ 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}\le\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,3}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\le 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}\le\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-1}).$$

(18) $\alpha=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$. In this case, $\chi_q(L(Y^\alpha))$ has 3 dominant monomials, so we need to consider every $\beta\in C_\alpha$.

For $\beta=-\alpha_0,-\alpha_4,\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, we have done.

Up to the symmetries $1\leftrightarrow 3$, $0\leftrightarrow 4$ of $\widetilde{I}$, we reduce to the cases: $\beta\in\{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\}$.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y^\alpha$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\le 4}$ are m, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}\le\varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}),\qquad mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}\le\varphi_2(A_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}),$$

$$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}\le\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}),\ 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}(A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})\le\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,3}^{-1}),$$

$$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}(A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})\le\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\le 4}$ are m, $mA_{3,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$,

$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

We have

$$(mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{3,1}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,1}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{3,3}^{-1}(2A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}) \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$2mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}(A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}) \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(2mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{3,1}^{-1}(1+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}$.

We have

$$mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,3}^{-2})_{\leq 4}, \quad mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2})_{\leq 4},$$

$$2mA_{1,3}^{-1}(A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}(A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

We have

$$(mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4},$$
$$mA_{3,3}^{-1}(2A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+2A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}) \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$
$$mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}(A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}) \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $5mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}$, $3mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $mA_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $5mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $5mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $4mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $5mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

Up to the symmetries $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ of I, we reduce to the monomials:

$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}, 5mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}, 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}, 3mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}, 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}, mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1},$

$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $5mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $4mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}$,

$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}, 5mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}, mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}, mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1},$

$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

After some calculation, we have

$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} + mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}$, $4mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2})_{\leq 4}$,

$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}(A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})_{\leq 4}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2})_{\leq 4}$,

$3mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,3}^{-2})_{\leq 4}$, $\quad 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}(2A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}) \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}$,

$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}(A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})_{\leq 4}$,

$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}(2A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}+2A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}) \leq$
$\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}$.

If $\beta = \alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5$, $Y^{\beta} = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}^2Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote

$$m = Y^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}, \qquad m_1 = mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1},\ m_2 = mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1},$$

$$m_3 = mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2},\ m_4 = mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2},\ m_5 = mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}.$$

We can check that all the monomials of $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ excluding $m_i (1 \leq i \leq 5)$ are contained in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$. And denote the set of monomials occur in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$ by $\mathscr{L}$.

If all m_i are not contained in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$. Consider the monomial $A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}m_1$, by Proposition 2.14, we fix $i = 1$, then there is a unique decomposition of $\chi_q(L(m))$ as a finite sum

$$\chi_q(L(m)) = \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathscr{M}_{1,+} \\ \lambda_m \geq 0}} \lambda_m \varphi_1(m).$$

But, obviously, for any $m' \in \mathscr{L}$, $A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}m_1$ does not occur in $\varphi_1(m')$. And only for m_1, we have

$$A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}m_1 \leq \varphi_1(m_1)_{\leq 4} = m_1(1 + A_{1,3}^{-1} + A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}).$$

So, $m_1 + m_2$ is contained in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$.

Consider the monomial $A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}m_1$, fix $i = 3$, we can similarly check that m_3 is contained in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$.

Consider the monomial $A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}m_1$, fix $i = 1$, only for m_5, $A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}m_1$ occur in $\varphi_1(m_5)$.

Since m_4 is not dominant, we have proved that all dominant monomials of $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are contained in $\chi_q(L(m))_{\leq 4}$.

(19) $\alpha=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}$. If $\beta\in C_\alpha\backslash\{-\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

For $\beta=-\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, we have done.

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4},\qquad 2mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},\ mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}\leq\varphi_3(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}^2Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $2mA_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

$$2mA_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4},\ 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

(20) $\alpha=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$. In this case, $\chi_q(L(Y^\alpha))$ has 3 dominant monomials, so we need to consider every $\beta\in C_\alpha$.

For $\beta=-\alpha_4,\alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, we have done.

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y^\alpha$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}$, and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

We have

$$2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}+2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}+2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}+mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}.$$

$$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},\ 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2})_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}\leq\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{3,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$,

$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}, mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}, mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, and $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

Up to the symmetries $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ of I, we reduce to the monomials:

$mA_{1,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$,

$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

We have

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}(A_{2,4}^{-1}+2A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}) \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}(1+A_{3,1}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}(A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

Lastly, we have $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}$.

If $\beta = \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{2,3}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(m)_{\leq 4},\ 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},\ mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta = \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}^2Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, and $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}$.

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4},\ mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-2})_{\leq 4},$$

$$2mA_{3,1}^{-1}(A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}) \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(2mA_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$(mA_{1,1}^{-1}+mA_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}) \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta = \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}$.

$$(2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}+2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{3,1}^{-1}(A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}) \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta = \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{3,1}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$,

$4mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}, 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $3mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}$,

$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}, 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $4mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $4mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}, mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

We have

$$4mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(2mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$(2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} + mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2} + 2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{3,3}^{-1}(A_{2,4}^{-1} + 4A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} + 2A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2} + 3A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}) \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}(2A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} + A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2} + A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} + A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{3,1}^{-1}(1 + 2A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} + A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2} + 2A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} + A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} + A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}) \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

(21) $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5$, $Y^\alpha = Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$. In this case, $\chi_q(L(Y^\alpha))$ has 2 dominant monomials, so we need to consider every $\beta \in C_\alpha$.

For $\beta = -\alpha_4, \alpha_0,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5$, we have done.

If $\beta = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y^\alpha$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are $m,\ 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1},\ mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2},\quad 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,3}^{-2})_{\leq 4},\ 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}(A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} + A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are $m, 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1},\ mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2},\ mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2})_{\leq 4}, \qquad 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},\ mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$, $Y^\beta = Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

We have $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}$.

If $\beta = \alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5$, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}^2Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are $m,\ 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1},\ mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2},\ mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$,

$2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$ $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$2mA_{1,3}^{-1}(A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}, \qquad mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,3}^{-2})_{\leq 4},$$
$$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}(A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}$ $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2})_{\leq 4}, \qquad 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$
$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}$, $mA_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+mA_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(m)_{\leq 4},$$
$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}(2A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}+A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}+2A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}) \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

(22) $\alpha=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$. If $\beta\in C_\alpha\backslash\{-\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$, then $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ contains a unique dominant monomial and $S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta)$ is simple.

For $\beta=-\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, we have done.

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,3}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$\varphi_2(m)_{\leq 4}=m(1+A_{2,4}^{-1}).$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}^2Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m and $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

(23) $\alpha=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}$. In this case, $\chi_q(L(Y^\alpha))$ has 2 dominant monomials, so we need to consider every $\beta\in C_\alpha$.

For $\beta=\alpha_4,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4$, we have done.

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y^\alpha$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}$ $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}+2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$$mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq \varphi_2(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},\ mA_{1,3}^{-1}(A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}+2A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})\leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $4mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $3mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $4mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$, and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}$.

We have

$$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}(A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}+2A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})\leq\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}+2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{3,3}^{-1}(A_{2,4}^{-1}+4A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+2A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}+3A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2})\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}(A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}+2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2})\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}^2Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$ and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

We have

$$mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4},$$

$$mA_{3,3}^{-1}(2A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}+2A_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1})\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,3}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $mA_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

We have $mA_{2,4}^{-1}+2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\leq 4}$.

(24) $\alpha=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{1,0}Y_{2,3}^2Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}$. In this case, $\chi_q(L(Y^\alpha))$ has 3 dominant monomials, so we need to consider every $\beta\in C_\alpha$.

If $\beta\in\mathbb{C}_\alpha\backslash\{\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$, we have done.

If $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y^\alpha$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $2mA_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{2,4}^{-2}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}$.

We have

$$2mA_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{2,4}^{-2}\leq\varphi_2(m)_{\leq 4},\quad mA_{1,1}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}\leq\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,1}^{-2})_{\leq 4},$$

$$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}(A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2})\leq\varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}.$$

If $\beta=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\beta=Y_{2,3}$, denote $m=Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $2mA_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

We have $2mA_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(m)_{\leq 4}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}\leq\varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1})_{\leq 4}$.

(25) $\alpha=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\alpha=Y_{2,3}$. In this case, for $\beta\in\mathbb{C}_\alpha\backslash\{\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\}$, we have done. So we need to consider $\beta=\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5$.

Now, $Y^\beta = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$, denote $m = Y^\alpha Y^\beta$. The only dominant monomials in $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))$ are $m, mA_{2,4}^{-1}, 2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}, 2mA_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $3mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

We have

$mA_{2,4}^{-1}+2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \le \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\le 4}, \quad mA_{3,3}^{-1}(2A_{2,4}^{-1}+3A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}) \le \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(mA_{3,3}^{-1})_{\le 4},$

$mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \le \varphi_2(mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1})_{\le 4}.$

(26) $\alpha = \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5$, $Y^\alpha = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$. In this case, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}_\alpha\backslash\{\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\}$, we have done. So we need to consider $\beta = \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5$.

Since $Y^\beta = Y^\alpha$, denote $m = Y^{2\alpha}$. Up to the symmetries $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ in I, we reduce to the following dominant monomials of $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\le 4}$: m, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $8mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $4mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}, 6mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $6mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}, mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}, 8mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}, 6mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $8mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $4mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $4mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $6mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}$.

We have

$2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}+2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \le \varphi_{\{1,2\}}(m)_{\le 4},$

$2mA_{1,3}^{-1}(4A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+2A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}+3A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}) \le \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\le 4},$

$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}(4A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+2A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}+3A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}+4A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+2A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}+A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+$
$A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}+2A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2}) \le \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1})_{\le 4},$

$6mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2} \le \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,3}^{-2})_{\le 4}, \quad 6mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,2}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-2} \le \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-2})_{\le 4}.$

(27) $\alpha = \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\alpha = Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}^2Y_{3,0}Y_{3,2}$. In this case, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}_\alpha\backslash\{\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\}$, we have done. So we only need to consider $\beta = \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$.

Since $Y^\beta = Y^\alpha$, denote $m = Y^{2\alpha}$. Up to the symmetries $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ in I, we reduce to the following dominant monomials of $\chi_q(S(\alpha)\otimes S(\beta))_{\le 4}$: m, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$, $mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}$, $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$.

$2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \le \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\le 4}, \qquad mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2} \le \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(mA_{1,3}^{-2})_{\le 4},$

$2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}(A_{3,1}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}+A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}) \le \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,1}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1})_{\le 4}.$

(28) $\alpha = \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$, $Y^\alpha = Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,2}$. In this case, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}_\alpha\backslash\{\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$, we have done. So we only need to consider $\beta = \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$.

Since $Y^{\beta} = Y^{\alpha}$, denote $m = Y^{2\alpha}$. The dominant monomials of $\chi_q(S(\alpha) \otimes S(\beta))_{\leq 4}$ are m, $2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1}$ and $mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2}$.

$$2mA_{1,3}^{-1}A_{3,3}^{-1}A_{2,4}^{-1} \leq \varphi_{\{2,3\}}(2mA_{1,3}^{-1})_{\leq 4},\ mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2}A_{2,4}^{-2} \leq \varphi_2(mA_{1,3}^{-2}A_{3,3}^{-2})_{\leq 4}.$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem IV.8. □

Corollary IV.9. *For every exchange relation of cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}$, there exist an exact sequence of $\mathscr{C}_2$ corresponding to it.*

Example IV.10. *For exchange relation*

$$x[-\alpha_0]x[\alpha_0] = x[-\alpha_1] + x_7,$$

the corresponding exact sequence is

$$0 \to L(Y_{1,4}Y_{2,1}) \to L(Y_{1,0}) \otimes L(Y_{1,2}Y_{1,4}) \to L(Y_{1,0}Y_{1,2}Y_{1,4}) \to 0.$$

For exchange relation

$$x[-\alpha_2]x[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2] = x[\alpha_0+\alpha_1]x_6 + x[\alpha_0]x[-\alpha_3]x[-\alpha_5],$$

the corresponding exact sequence is

$$0 \to L(Y_{3,4}) \otimes L(Y_{2,1}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}) \to L(Y_{1,4}Y_{2,1}Y_{3,4}) \otimes L(Y_{1,2}Y_{2,5}) \to L(Y_{1,2}Y_{1,4}) \otimes L(Y_{2,5}) \otimes L(Y_{2,1}Y_{3,4}) \to 0.$$

Further more,

$$L(Y_{3,4}) \otimes L(Y_{2,1}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}) \cong L(Y_{2,1}Y_{2,3}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,4}),$$

$$L(Y_{1,2}Y_{1,4}) \otimes L(Y_{2,5}) \otimes L(Y_{2,1}Y_{3,4}) \cong L(Y_{1,2}Y_{1,4}Y_{2,1}Y_{2,5}Y_{3,4}).$$

Appendix A: Explicit Formulas for Cluster Variables

The following result is obtained by computer. We list the sequences of mutations and explicit formulas for cluster variables:

$x\big[-\alpha_0\big] = x_0, \qquad x\big[-\alpha_1\big] = x_1, \qquad x\big[-\alpha_2\big] = x_2,$

$x\big[-\alpha_3\big] = x_3, \qquad x\big[-\alpha_4\big] = x_4, \qquad x\big[-\alpha_5\big] = x_5,$

$\mu_0 :\ x\big[\alpha_0\big] = (x_1+x_7)/x_0, \qquad \mu_4 :\ x\big[\alpha_4\big] = (x_3+x_8)/x_4,$

$\mu_1 :\ x\big[\alpha_1\big] = (x_0x_2+x_7)/x_1, \qquad \mu_3 : x\big[\alpha_3\big] = (x_2x_4+x_8)/x_3,$

$\mu_2 :\ x\big[\alpha_2\big] = (x_1x_3x_5+x_6)/x_2, \qquad \mu_5 :\ x\big[\alpha_5\big] = (x_2+x_6)/x_5,$

$\mu_1\mu_0 : x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1\big] = \frac{1}{x_0x_1}(x_1+x_0x_2+x_7),$

$\mu_3\mu_4 : x\big[\alpha_3+\alpha_4\big] = \frac{1}{x_3x_4}(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8),$

$\mu_2\mu_1 : x\big[\alpha_1+\alpha_2\big] = \frac{1}{x_1x_2}(x_0x_2x_6+x_1x_3x_5x_7+x_6x_7),$

$\mu_3\mu_2 : x\big[\alpha_2+\alpha_3\big] = \frac{1}{x_2x_3}(x_2x_4x_6+x_1x_3x_5x_8+x_6x_8),$

$\mu_5\mu_2 : x\big[\alpha_2+\alpha_5\big] = \frac{1}{x_2x_5}(x_2+x_1x_3x_5+x_6),$

$\mu_2\mu_1\mu_0 : x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2\big] = \frac{1}{x_0x_1x_2}(x_1^2x_3x_5+x_1x_6+x_0x_2x_6+x_1x_3x_5x_7+x_6x_7),$

$\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4 : x\big[\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4\big] = \frac{1}{x_2x_3x_4}(x_1x_3x_5(x_3+x_8)+x_6(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)),$

$\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1 : x\big[\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3\big] = \frac{1}{x_1x_2x_3}(x_0x_2x_6(x_2x_4+x_8)+x_7(x_2x_4x_6+(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)x_8)),$

$\mu_5\mu_2\mu_3 : x\big[\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5\big] = \frac{1}{x_2x_3x_5}(x_2^2x_4+x_2x_4x_6+(x_2+x_1x_3x_5+x_6)x_8),$

$\mu_5\mu_2\mu_1 : x\big[\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5\big] = \frac{1}{x_1x_2x_5}(x_0x_2(x_2+x_6)+(x_2+x_1x_3x_5+x_6)x_7),$

$\mu_4\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1 :$

$x\big[\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4\big] = \frac{1}{x_1x_2x_3x_4}(x_0x_2x_6(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)+x_7(x_1x_3x_5(x_3+x_8)+x_6(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8))),$

$\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1\mu_0 :$

$x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3\big] = \frac{1}{x_0x_1x_2x_3}(x_1^2x_3x_5x_8+x_6(x_0x_2+x_7)(x_2x_4+x_8)+x_1(x_2x_4x_6+(x_6+x_3x_5x_7)x_8)),$

$\mu_5\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4 :$

$x\big[\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big] = \frac{1}{x_2x_3x_4x_5}(x_2^2x_4+(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)(x_3+x_8)+x_2(x_3+x_4x_6+x_8)),$

$\mu_5\mu_2\mu_1\mu_0 :$

$x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5\big] = \frac{1}{x_0x_1x_2x_5}(x_1^2x_3x_5+(x_2+x_6)(x_0x_2+x_7)+x_1(x_2+x_6+x_3x_5x_7)),$

$\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1\mu_5 :$

$x\big[\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5\big] = \frac{1}{x_1x_2x_3x_5}(x_0x_2(x_2+x_6)(x_2x_4+x_8)+x_7(x_2^2x_4+(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)x_8+x_2(x_4x_6+x_8))),$

$\mu_1\mu_5\mu_4\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1 :$

$x\big[\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5\big] = \frac{1}{x_1x_2^2x_3x_5}((x_2+x_1x_3x_5+x_6)x_7(x_2x_4x_6+(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)x_8)+x_0x_2x_6(x_2^2x_4+(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)x_8+x_2(x_4x_6+x_8))),$

$\mu_4\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1\mu_0 :$

$x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4\big] = \frac{1}{x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4}(x_1^2x_3x_5(x_3+x_8)+x_6(x_0x_2+x_7)(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)+x_1(x_3^2x_5x_7+x_6(x_2x_4+x_8)+x_3(x_6+x_5x_7x_8))),$

$\mu_4\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1\mu_5 :$

$x\big[\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big] = \frac{1}{x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5}(x_0x_2(x_2+x_6)(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)+x_7(x_2^2x_4+(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)(x_3+$

$x_8)+x_2(x_3+x_4x_6+x_8))),$

$\mu_0\mu_1\mu_4\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1\mu_5:$

$x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5\big]=\frac{1}{x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5}(x_1^2x_3x_5x_8+(x_2+x_6)(x_0x_2+x_7)(x_2x_4+x_8)+x_1(x_2^2x_4+(x_6+x_3x_5x_7)x_8+x_2(x_4x_6+x_8))),$

$\mu_0\mu_1\mu_5\mu_4\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1:$

$x\big[\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5\big]=\frac{1}{x_0x_1^2x_2^2x_3x_5}(x_0^2x_2^2x_6(x_2+x_6)(x_2x_4+x_8)+(x_2+x_1x_3x_5+x_6)x_7(x_1+x_7)(x_2x_4x_6+(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)x_8)+x_0x_2(x_1^2x_3x_5x_6x_8+2x_6(x_2+x_6)x_7(x_2x_4+x_8)+x_1(x_2^2x_4x_6+x_6(x_6+2x_3x_5x_7)x_8+x_2(x_6+x_3x_5x_7)(x_4x_6+x_8)))),$

$\mu_2\mu_0\mu_1\mu_5\mu_4\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1:$

$x\big[\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big]=\frac{1}{x_1x_2^2x_3^2x_4x_5}(x_0x_2x_6(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)(x_2^2x_4+(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)x_8+x_2(x_4x_6+x_8))+x_7(x_2^3x_4^2x_6+(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)^2x_8(x_3+x_8)+x_2(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)(x_3(x_4x_6+x_8)+x_8(2x_4x_6+x_8))+x_2^2x_4(x_6(x_4x_6+2x_8)+x_3(x_6+x_1x_5x_8)))),$

$\mu_4\mu_2\mu_4\mu_0\mu_3\mu_5\mu_1\mu_2:$

$x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5\big]=\frac{1}{x_0x_1x_2^2x_3x_5}(x_1^3x_3^2x_5^2x_8+x_6(x_2+x_6)(x_0x_2+x_7)(x_2x_4+x_8)+x_1^2x_3x_5(x_2x_4x_6+x_2x_8+2x_6x_8+x_3x_5x_7x_8)+x_1(x_2^2x_4x_6+x_6(x_6+2x_3x_5x_7)x_8+x_2(x_4x_6(x_6+x_3x_5x_7)+(x_6+x_0x_3x_5x_6+x_3x_5x_7)x_8))),$

$\mu_0\mu_2\mu_4\mu_0\mu_3\mu_5\mu_1\mu_2:$

$x\big[\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big]=\frac{1}{x_1x_2^2x_3x_4x_5}((x_2+x_1x_3x_5+x_6)x_7(x_1x_3x_5(x_3+x_8)+x_6(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8))+x_0x_2x_6(x_2^2x_4+(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)(x_3+x_8)+x_2(x_3+x_4x_6+x_8))),$

$\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_2\mu_0\mu_1\mu_5\mu_4\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1:$

$x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big]=\frac{1}{x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5}(x_1^2x_3x_5(x_3+x_8)+(x_2+x_6)(x_0x_2+x_7)(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)+x_1(x_2^2x_4+(x_6+x_3x_5x_7)(x_3+x_8)+x_2(x_3+x_4x_6+x_8))),$

$\mu_2\mu_4\mu_0\mu_3\mu_5\mu_1\mu_2:$

$x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big]=\frac{1}{x_0x_1x_2^2x_3x_4x_5}(x_1^3x_3^2x_5^2(x_3+x_8)+x_6(x_2+x_6)(x_0x_2+x_7)(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)+x_1^2x_3x_5((2x_6+x_3x_5x_7)(x_3+x_8)+x_2(x_3+x_4x_6+x_8))+x_1(x_2^2x_4x_6+x_6(x_6+2x_3x_5x_7)(x_3+x_8)+x_2(x_3^2x_5(x_0x_6+x_7)+x_6(x_4x_6+x_8)+x_3(x_6+x_4x_5x_6x_7+x_0x_5x_6x_8+x_5x_7x_8)))),$

$\mu_2\mu_3\mu_2\mu_0\mu_1\mu_5\mu_4\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1:$

$x\big[\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big]=\frac{1}{x_0x_1^2x_2^2x_3x_4x_5}(x_0^2x_2^2x_6(x_2+x_6)(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)+(x_2+x_1x_3x_5+x_6)x_7(x_1+x_7)(x_1x_3x_5(x_3+x_8)+x_6(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8))+x_0x_2(x_1^2x_3x_5x_6(x_3+x_8)+2x_6(x_2+x_6)x_7(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)+x_1(x_2^2x_4x_6+x_6(x_6+2x_3x_5x_7)(x_3+x_8)+x_2(x_6+x_3x_5x_7)(x_3+x_4x_6+x_8)))),$

$\mu_2\mu_1\mu_2\mu_4\mu_3\mu_5\mu_0\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3:$

$x\big[\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big]=\frac{1}{x_0x_1x_2^2x_3^2x_4x_5}(x_1^3x_3^2x_5^2x_8(x_3+x_8)+x_6(x_2+x_6)(x_0x_2+x_7)(x_2x_4+x_8)(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)+x_1^2x_3x_5(x_2^2x_4x_8+(2x_6+x_3x_5x_7)x_8(x_3+x_8)+x_2(x_3(x_4x_6+x_8)+x_8(2x_4x_6+x_8)))+x_1(x_2^3x_4^2x_6+x_6(x_6+2x_3x_5x_7)x_8(x_3+x_8)+x_2^2x_4(x_6(x_4x_6+2x_8)+x_3(x_6+x_0x_5x_6x_8+x_5x_7x_8))+x_2(x_6x_8(2x_4x_6+x_8)+x_3^2x_5(x_4x_6x_7+(x_0x_6+x_7)x_8)+x_3(x_8(x_6+x_0x_5x_6x_8+x_5x_7x_8)+x_4x_6(x_6+2x_5x_7x_8)))))$,

$\mu_3\mu_5\mu_0\mu_1\mu_4\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1\mu_5$:

$x\big[\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big]=\frac{1}{x_0x_1^2x_2^2x_3^2x_4x_5}(x_0^2x_2^2x_6(x_2+x_6)(x_2x_4+x_8)(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)+x_7(x_1+x_7)(x_2^3x_4^2x_6+(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)^2x_8(x_3+x_8)+x_2(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)(x_3(x_4x_6+x_8)+x_8(2x_4x_6+x_8))+x_2^2x_4(x_6(x_4x_6+2x_8)+x_3(x_6+x_1x_5x_8)))+x_0x_2(x_1^2x_3x_5x_6x_8(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)+2x_6(x_2+x_6)x_7(x_2x_4+x_8)(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)+x_1(x_2^3x_4^2x_6+x_6(x_6+2x_3x_5x_7)x_8(x_3+x_8)+x_2(x_6+x_3x_5x_7)(x_3(x_4x_6+x_8)+x_8(2x_4x_6+x_8))+x_2^2x_4(x_6(x_4x_6+2x_8)+x_3(x_6+x_5x_7x_8)))))$,

$\mu_5\mu_2\mu_1\mu_0\mu_4\mu_2\mu_4\mu_0\mu_3\mu_5\mu_1\mu_2$:

$x\big[\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\big]=\frac{1}{x_0x_1^2x_2^3x_3^2x_4x_5}(x_0^2x_2^2x_6^2(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)(x_2^2x_4+(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)x_8+x_2(x_4x_6+x_8))+(x_2+x_1x_3x_5+x_6)x_7(x_1+x_7)(x_1^2x_3^2x_5^2x_8(x_3+x_8)+x_6^2(x_2x_4+x_8)(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)+x_1x_3x_5x_6(2x_8(x_3+x_8)+x_2x_4(x_3+2x_8)))+x_0x_2x_6(x_1^3x_3^2x_5^2x_8(x_3+x_8)+2x_6(x_2+x_6)x_7(x_2x_4+x_8)(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)+x_1^2x_3x_5(x_2^2x_4x_8+2(x_6+x_3x_5x_7)x_8(x_3+x_8)+x_2(x_8(2x_4x_6+x_8)+x_3(x_4x_6+x_8+x_4x_5x_7x_8)))+x_1(x_2^3x_4^2x_6+x_6(x_6+4x_3x_5x_7)x_8(x_3+x_8)+x_2^2x_4(x_6+x_3x_5x_7)(x_3+x_4x_6+2x_8)+x_2(2x_3^2x_5x_7(x_4x_6+x_8)+x_6x_8(2x_4x_6+x_8)+x_3(x_8(x_6+2x_5x_7x_8)+x_4x_6(x_6+5x_5x_7x_8)))))$,

$\mu_3\mu_2\mu_0\mu_1\mu_5\mu_4\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1$:

$x\big[\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\big]=\frac{1}{x_0x_1^2x_2^3x_3^2x_4x_5^2}(x_0^2x_2^2x_6(x_2+x_6)(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)(x_2^2x_4+(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)x_8+x_2(x_4x_6+x_8))+(x_2+x_1x_3x_5+x_6)x_7(x_1+x_7)(x_2^3x_4^2x_6+(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)^2x_8(x_3+x_8)+x_2(x_1x_3x_5+x_6)(x_3(x_4x_6+x_8)+x_8(2x_4x_6+x_8))+x_2^2x_4(x_6(x_4x_6+2x_8)+x_3(x_6+x_1x_5x_8)))+x_0x_2(x_1^3x_3^2x_5^2x_6x_8(x_3+x_8)+2x_6(x_2+x_6)^2x_7(x_2x_4+x_8)(x_3+x_2x_4+x_8)+x_1^2x_3x_5(2x_6(x_6+x_3x_5x_7)x_8(x_3+x_8)+x_2^2x_4x_6(x_3+2x_8)+x_2(x_3^2x_5x_7x_8+2x_6x_8(x_4x_6+x_8)+x_3(x_4x_6(x_6+x_5x_7x_8)+x_8(2x_6+x_5x_7x_8))))+x_1(x_2+x_6)(x_2^3x_4^2x_6+x_6(x_6+4x_3x_5x_7)x_8(x_3+x_8)+x_2^2x_4(x_6(x_4x_6+2x_8)+x_3(x_6+x_4x_5x_6x_7+x_5x_7x_8))+x_2(x_3^2x_5x_7(2x_4x_6+x_8)+x_6x_8(2x_4x_6+x_8)+x_3(x_8(x_6+x_5x_7x_8)+x_4x_6(x_6+5x_5x_7x_8)))))$.

Appendix B: Compatible Roots

For $\alpha\in\Phi_{\geq -1}$, denote C_α be the set of almost positive roots which are compatible with α. We list them in the following.

$C_{-\alpha_i}=\{-\alpha_i\}\cup\{\beta\in\Phi_{\geq -1}\mid[\beta:\alpha_i]=0\},\ (i\in\widetilde{I})$,

$C_{\alpha_0} = \{-\alpha_i \mid i \in \widetilde{I}, i \neq 0\} \cup \{\alpha_0,\ \alpha_2,\ \alpha_3,\ \alpha_4,\ \alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2, \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$,

$C_{\alpha_1} = \{-\alpha_i \mid i \in \widetilde{I}, i \neq 1\} \cup \{\alpha_1,\ \alpha_3,\ \alpha_4,\ \alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$,

$C_{\alpha_2} = \{-\alpha_i \mid i \in \widetilde{I}, i \neq 2\} \cup \{\alpha_0,\ \alpha_2,\ \alpha_4,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2\}$,

$C_{\alpha_5} = \{-\alpha_i \mid i \in \widetilde{I}, i \neq 5\} \cup \{\alpha_0,\ \alpha_1,\ \alpha_3,\ \alpha_4,\ \alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$,

$C_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = \{-\alpha_i \mid i \in \widetilde{I}, i \neq 1,2\} \cup \{\alpha_0,\ \alpha_1,\ \alpha_2,\ \alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+a_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$,

$C_{\alpha_2+\alpha_5} = \{-\alpha_i \mid i \in \widetilde{I}, i \neq 2,5\} \cup \{\alpha_0,\ \alpha_2,\ \alpha_4,\ \alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$,

$C_{\alpha_3+\alpha_4} = \{-\alpha_i \mid i \in \widetilde{I}, i \neq 3,4\} \cup \{\alpha_0,\ \alpha_1,\ \alpha_3,\ \alpha_4,\ \alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$,

$C_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3} = \{-\alpha_0,\ -\alpha_4,\ -\alpha_5\} \cup \{\alpha_1,\ \alpha_3,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$,

$C_{\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = \{-\alpha_3,\ -\alpha_4,\ -\alpha_5\} \cup \{\alpha_0,\ \alpha_2,\ \alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$,

$C_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5} = \{-\alpha_0,\ -\alpha_3,\ -\alpha_4\} \cup \{\alpha_1,\ \alpha_4,\ \alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+$

$\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+$
$\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+$
$2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\}$,
$C_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4}=\{-\alpha_0,\ -\alpha_5\}\cup\{\alpha_1,\ \alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2+$
$\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+$
$\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+$
$2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+$
$\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+$
$\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\}$,
$C_{\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5}=\{-\alpha_3,\ -\alpha_4\}\cup\{\alpha_0,\ \alpha_4,\ \alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+$
$\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+$
$\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+$
$\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+$
$\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+$
$\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\}$,
$C_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5}=\{-\alpha_0,\ -\alpha_4\}\cup\{\alpha_1,\ \alpha_3,\ \alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+$
$\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$,
$C_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5}=\{-\alpha_0,\ -\alpha_4\}\cup\{\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+$
$\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+$
$\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+$
$2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+$
$3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\}$,
$C_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5}=\{-\alpha_0\}\cup\{\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+$
$\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+$
$\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+$
$\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$,
$C_{\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5}=\{-\alpha_4\}\cup\{\alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+$
$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+$
$\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+$
$2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\}$,
$C_{\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5}=\{-\alpha_4\}\cup\{\alpha_0,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+$
$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+$

$\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$,

$C_{\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4}=\{-\alpha_5\}\cup\{\alpha_0,\ \alpha_4,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$,

$C_{\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5}=\{\alpha_4,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$,

$C_{\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5}=\{\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$,

$C_{\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5}=\{\alpha_0,\ \alpha_4,\ \alpha_5,\ \alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\}$,

$C_{\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5}=\{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\alpha_5\}$,

$C_{\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5}=\{\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$,

$C_{\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5}=\{\alpha_0,\ \alpha_4,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\}$.

REFERENCES

[1]A. Buan, R. Marsh, M. Reineke, I. Reiten, G. Todorov, Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics. Adv. Math. (to appear), 36 pages, arXiv:math.RT/0402054.

[2]V. Chari, Braid group actions and tensor products. Int. Math. Res. Not. **2002**, 7, 357–382.

[3]V. Chari, D. Hernandez, Beyond Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules. Contemp. Math. (to appear).

[4]V. Chari, A. Moura, C. Young, Prime representations from a homological perspective. arXiv:1112.6376

[5]V. Chari, A. Pressley, A Guide to Quantum Groups. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[6]V. Chari, A. Pressley, Quantum affine algebras. Comm. Math. Phys. **142** (1991), no. 2, 261–283.

[7]V. Chari, A. Pressley, Quantum affine algebras and their representations. Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994), 59–78, CMS Conf. Proc., **16**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

[8]V. Chari, A. Pressley, Minimal affinizations of representations of quantum groups: the simply laced case. J. Algebra **184** (1996), no. 1, 1–30.

[9]V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmuller theory. Publ. Math. IHES 103 (2006), 1C211, arXiv:math/0311149 [math.AG].

[10]V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, Cluster ensembles, quantization and the dilogarithm. Annales Sci. de l$'$*É*cole Norm. Sup. 42 (2009), 865C930; arXiv:math/0311245 [math.AG].

[11]E. Frenkel, E. Mukhin, Combinatorics of q-characters of finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras. Comm. Math. Phys. **216** (2001), 23–57.

[12]E. Frenkel, N. Reshetikhin, The q-characters of representations of quantum affine algebras. Recent developments in quantum affine algebras and related topics, Contemp. Math. **248** (1999), 163–205.

[13]S. Fomin, M. Shapiro, D. Thurston, Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. I. Cluster complexes. Acta Math. 201 (2008), no. 1, 83146. MR 2448067 (2010b:57032)

[14]S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras I: Foundations. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 497–529.

[15]S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras II. Finite type classification. Invent. Math., 154 (2003) (1), 63C121. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00222-003-0302-y.

[16]S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, Y-systems and generalized associahedra. Ann. Math. **158** (2003), 977–

1018.

[17]S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras: notes for the CDM-03 conference. Current developments in mathematics, 2003, 1–34, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2003.

[18]Geiss C., Leclerc B., Schröer J, Rigid modules over preprojective algebras. Invent. Math. 165 (2006), no. 3, 589–632.

[19]D. Hernandez, Simple tensor products. arXiv:0907.3002

[20]D. Hernandez, B. Leclerc, Cluster algebras and quantum affine algebras. Duke Math. J. **154** (2010), 265–341.

[21]D. Hernandez, B. Leclerc, Monoidal categorifications of cluster algebras of type *A* and *D*. in Symmetries, integrable systems and representations, (K. Iohara, S. Morier-Genoud, B. Rémy, eds.), Springer proceedings in mathematics and statistics **40**, 2013, 175–193.

[22]D. Hernandez, B. Leclerc, A cluster algebra approach to q-characters of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules. arXiv:1303.0744

[23]K. Iwaki, T. Nakanishi, Exact WKB analysis and cluster algebras. arXiv:1401.7094.

[24]K. Nagao, Donaldson-Thomas theory and cluster algebras. arXiv:1002.4884.

[25]H. Nakajima, Quiver varieties and cluster algebras. Kyoto J. Math. 51 (2011), no. 1, 71C126.

[26]H. Nakajima, *t*-analogs of *q*-characters of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules of quantum affine algebras. Represent. Theory **7** (2003), 259–274.

[27]F. QIN, Alg‘ebres amassees quantiques acycliques. PhD thesis, Universite Paris 7, May 2012.



FIG. 1. relative fields of cluster algebras



FIG. 2. mutation equivalent quiver